arXiv:1511.08268v1 [math.NT] 26 Nov 2015

BOUNDING CUBIC-TRIPLE PRODUCT SELMER GROUPS OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

YIFENG LIU

Abstract. Let E be a modular elliptic curve over a totally real cubic field. We have a cubic-triple product motive over $\mathbb{Q}$ constructed from E through multiplicative induction; it is of rank 8. We show that, under certain assumptions on E, the non-vanishing of the central critical value of the L-function attached to the motive implies that the dimension of the associated Bloch–Kato Selmer group is 0.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Main result 1
1.2. Level raising and a new reciprocity law 3
1.3. Outline of the article 4
1.4. Notation and conventions 5
2. Weight spectral sequence and Galois cohomology 6
2.1. Semistable schemes 6
2.2. Potential map and Galois cohomology 7
2.3. Correspondence, functoriality, and localization 10
2.4. Relation with Abel–Jacobi maps 12
3. A reciprocity law for cubic Hirzebruch–Zagier cycles 14
3.1. Level raising on Hilbert threefolds 15
3.2. The semistable model 17
3.3. Computing potential map: before quotient 22
3.4. Computing potential map: after quotient 27
3.5. The reciprocity law 32
4. Bounding Selmer groups 33
4.1. Bloch–Kato Selmer groups 33
4.2. Recapitulation of some Galois-theoretical results 34
4.3. Proof of main theorem 35
Appendix A. Stratification on some modular schemes with bad reduction 37
References 41

1. Introduction

1.1. **Main result.** Let F be a cubic étale algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$. Consider the reductive group $G = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{GL}_2$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Denote by $\tau\colon {}^L G \to \mathrm{GL}_8(\mathbb{C})$ the triple product L-homomorphism

Date: September 4, 2015.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11G05, 11R34, 14G35.

[PSR87, §0]. Thus, for every irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation Π of $G(\mathbb{A})$ where $\mathbb{A}$ denotes the ring of adèles of $\mathbb{Q}$ as usual, we have the attached triple product L-function $L(s,\Pi,\tau)$. By the work of Garrett [Gar87] and Piatetski-Shapiro–Rallis [PSR87], it is a meromorphic function on the complex plane. Moreover, suppose that the central character ω_Π of Π is trivial after restriction to $\mathbb{A}^\times$. Then we have a functional equation

$$L(s,\Pi,\tau)=\epsilon(\Pi,\tau)C(\Pi,\tau)^{1-2s}L(1-s,\Pi,\tau)$$

for some $\epsilon(\Pi,\tau)\in\{\pm 1\}$ and positive integer $C(\Pi,\tau)$. The global epsilon-factor $\epsilon(\Pi,\tau)$ is the product of local ones:

$$\epsilon(\Pi,\tau)=\prod_v \epsilon(\Pi_v,\tau),$$

where v runs over all places of $\mathbb{Q}$. Here, we have $\epsilon(\Pi_v,\tau)\in\{\pm 1\}$ and it equals 1 for all but finitely many v. Put

$$\Sigma(\Pi,\tau)=\{v\mid \epsilon(\Pi_v,\tau)=-1\}.$$

In our previous work [Liu15], we consider the case where F is the product of $\mathbb{Q}$ and a real quadratic field. In this article, we consider the case where F is a totally real cubic field. Thus, from now on, we fix such a field F. Denote by O_F, Φ_F, and $\widetilde{F}\subset\mathbb{C}$ the ring of integers, the set of archimedean places, and the normal closure in $\mathbb{C}$, of F respectively. Let $F_0\subset\mathbb{C}$ be the unique subfield contained in $\widetilde{F}$ of degree $[\widetilde{F}:F]$.

Let E be a modular elliptic curve over F, which gives rise to an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation Π_E of $G(\mathbb{A})$ with trivial central character. In particular, the set $\Sigma(\Pi_E,\tau)$ contains ∞. We have the $\mathbb{Q}$-motive $\otimes\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbb{Q}}^F \mathsf{h}^1(E)$ (with coefficient $\mathbb{Q}$) of rank 8, which is the multiplicative induction of the F-motive $\mathsf{h}^1(E)$ to $\mathbb{Q}$. The *cubic-triple product motive* of E is defined to be

$$\mathsf{M}(E)=(\otimes\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbb{Q}}^F \mathsf{h}^1(E))(2). \tag{1.1}$$

It is canonically polarized and we have $L(s,\mathsf{M}(E))=L(s+1/2,\Pi_E,\tau)$. For every prime p, the p-adic realization of $\mathsf{M}(E)$, denoted by $\mathsf{M}(E)_p$, is a Galois representation of $\mathbb{Q}$ of dimension 8 with coefficient $\mathbb{Q}_p$. In fact, it is the multiplicative induction from F to $\mathbb{Q}$ of the rational p-adic Tate module of E.

Assumption 1.1. We propose the following three assumptions.

- **(E1):** For every finite place w of F over some prime in $\Sigma(\Pi_E,\tau)$, the elliptic curve E has either good or multiplicative reduction at w.
- **(E2):** For distinct elements $\iota_1,\iota_2\in\Phi_F$, the $\widetilde{F}$-elliptic curve $E\otimes_{F,\iota_1}\widetilde{F}$ is not isogenous to any (possibly trivial) quadratic twist of $E\otimes_{F,\iota_2}\widetilde{F}$.
- **(E3):** The geometric fiber $E\otimes_F\overline{F}$ does not admit complex multiplication.

The following theorem is a new case of the Bloch–Kato conjecture, for the motive $\mathsf{M}(E)$. We refer the reader to the Introduction of our previous article [Liu15] for the background of this conjecture. In particular, one may compare the following theorem with [Liu15, Theorems 1.3, 1.4].

Theorem 1.2. *Let E be a modular elliptic curve over F satisfying Assumption 1.1. If $L(1/2,\Pi_E,\tau)\neq 0$, then there exists a finite set $\mathcal{P}_E$ of primes such that for every prime $p\notin\mathcal{P}_E$,*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathrm{H}^1_f(\mathbb{Q},\mathsf{M}(E)_p)=0.$$

Remark 1.3. Once the elliptic curve E is given, (an upper bound for) the finite set $\mathcal{P}_E$ can be computed effectively.

Remark 1.4. The technical heart of the proof of Theorem 1.2 is a new reciprocity law for certain special cycles of codimension two on Shimura threefolds (see the next subsection for more details). The version for CM points on Shimura curves is known as the *first explicit reciprocity law* in [BD05]. In [Liu15], the corresponding results are Theorems 4.10 (3) and 4.11, which are called *congruence formulae* there. However, unlike the situation in [Liu15], our threefold here is not a product of a curve and a surface. Thus, the pullback technique will not work anymore, and in particular, we cannot make use of the reciprocity law in [BD05]. In other words, we cannot reduce the computation to divisors on curves. The main innovation of the current article is to develop a new tool, for higher (co)dimensional cycles, to compute the local Galois cohomology; and our new reciprocity law will be the first practice.

In [Liu15], we also have a result when $\mathrm{ord}_{s=1/2} L(s, \Pi_E, \tau) = 1$ ([Liu15, Theorem 1.5]). To obtain a similar result here, we need another reciprocity law, which plays the role as the *second explicit reciprocity law* in [BD05] for CM points on Shimura curves generalizing [Rib90]. At this moment, we do not know how to achieve the goal. But certainly, this will be a valuable question to consider in the future.

Remark 1.5. When F is normal, Assumption 1.1 (E2) is equivalent to that E does not admit a nontrivial homomorphism to any twist of $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$ for any GL(2)-type abelian variety A over $\mathbb{Q}$. In general, (E2) always excludes the case where $E = \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$ for some rational elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}$ (hence E is modular by [MR00] and the modularity of $\mathcal{E}$ [Wil95, TW95, BCDT01]). However, this case has potential application to the symmetric cube Selmer group of $\mathcal{E}$. Precisely, if we want to prove a version of Theorem 1.2 for the motive $(\mathrm{Sym}^3 \mathsf{h}^1(\mathcal{E}))(2)$ (of rank 4) by embedding it into some triple product motive (of rank 8) through base change to a totally real cubic étale $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra F, then we should necessarily take F to be a *field*; otherwise, the motive $\mathsf{h}^1(\mathcal{E})(1)$ will appear simultaneously. This is not good since we may have $L(0, (\mathrm{Sym}^3 \mathsf{h}^1(\mathcal{E}))(2)) \neq 0$ but $L(0, \mathsf{h}^1(\mathcal{E})(1))(= L(1, \mathcal{E})) = 0$. In fact, (E2) is not a serious assumption to our method. We think we are able to remove it by some extra combinatorial arguments which are quite involved. However, we choose not to pursue this generality here because otherwise the article would be rather technical and the logical uniformity would be broken.

1.2. **Level raising and a new reciprocity law.** In this subsection, we explain our results on level raising and the new reciprocity law on certain Shimura threefold. Note that for reader's convenience, we choose different but simpler notation than in the main article.

The Galois representation $\mathsf{M}(E)_p$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ has a natural lattice M_p. For an integer $\nu \geq 1$, we have the residue representation $\bar{\mathsf{M}}_p^\nu := \mathsf{M}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu$ of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Let $\mathrm{B}^\flat$ be a definite quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$, and put $\mathrm{B} = \mathrm{B}^\flat \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$. Suppose that the automorphic representation Π_E admits the Jacquet–Langlands transfer Π to $\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{B}^\times$. We fix a certain (sufficiently small) compact open subgroup $U \subset (\mathrm{B} \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})^\times$ defined by an Eichler order such that $\Pi^U \neq 0$. Let ℓ be a prime inert in F such that U_ℓ is hyperspecial maximal, where $U = U^\ell U_\ell$. We have the following objects

- $\phi_\nu \colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu$: an algebra homomorphism assigning the Hecke operator at v to $a_v(E)$ mod p^ν, where $\mathbb{T}$ is the spherical Hecke algebra away from ℓ and other places with ramification; put $\mathfrak{m}_\nu = \ker \phi_\nu$ for later use,
- Γ_ν: ϕ_ν-eigenspace of $\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu$-valued functions on $B^\times \backslash (B \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})^\times / U$,

- ${}^{\ell}\mathrm{B}^{\flat}$: the unique indefinite quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ with ${}^{\ell}\mathrm{B}^{\flat}\otimes\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\ell}\simeq\mathrm{B}^{\flat}\otimes\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\ell}$; in particular, it is ramified at ℓ,
- ${}^{\ell}X$: Shimura threefold associated to $\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}{}^{\ell}\mathrm{B}^{\times}$ of level U^{ℓ} away from ℓ and the minimal level at ℓ, where ${}^{\ell}\mathrm{B}={}^{\ell}\mathrm{B}^{\flat}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}F$; it is smooth and projective over $\mathbb{Q}$,
- ${}^{\ell}X^{\flat}$: Shimura curve associated to ${}^{\ell}\mathrm{B}^{\flat\times}$ of appropriate level,
- $\theta\colon {}^{\ell}X^{\flat}\to{}^{\ell}X$: special morphism induced by the inclusion ${}^{\ell}\mathrm{B}^{\flat\times}\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}{}^{\ell}\mathrm{B}^{\times}$; it is finite.

Essentially through the (p-adic) Abel–Jacobi map, we obtain a class

$$ {}^{\ell}\Theta\in\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q},\mathrm{H}^3({}^{\ell}X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}},\mathbb{Z}_p(2))) $$

from the special morphism θ. It is called the *cubic Hirzebruch–Zagier class*.

Since Π is unramified at ℓ, the representation $\bar{\mathsf{M}}_p^{\nu}$ should not show up as a subquotient in $\mathrm{H}^3({}^{\ell}X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}},\mathbb{Z}_p(2))$ *a priori*. However, we have the following level raising result, together with a reciprocity law for the cubic Hirzebruch–Zagier class ${}^{\ell}\Theta$.

Theorem 1.6. *(Theorems 3.11, 3.34) Let ℓ be a prime inert in F and unramified in $\widetilde{F}$, such that U_ℓ is hyperspecial maximal. Suppose that p is large (which can be determined effectively), and ℓ satisfies that*

$$ a_\ell(E)\equiv\ell^3+1\mod p^{\nu}, $$

together with other auxiliary conditions (in particular, $p\nmid\ell+1$; see Definition 3.8 for details) which do not depend on ν.

(Level raising): *The representation $\mathrm{H}^3({}^{\ell}X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}},\mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\mathfrak{m}_\nu$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of* nonzero *finitely many copies of $\bar{\mathsf{M}}_p^{\nu}$, after restriction to* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F_0)$. *Moreover, we have a canonical isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}$-modules*

$$ \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell,\mathrm{H}^3({}^{\ell}X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}},\mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\mathfrak{m}_\nu)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma_\nu,\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}). $$

(Reciprocity law): *Under the above isomorphism, the image of ${}^{\ell}\Theta$ in the quotient $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell,\mathrm{H}^3({}^{\ell}X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}},\mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\mathfrak{m}_\nu)$ is the functional that assigns every form $\phi\in\Gamma_\nu$ the following* triple product period integral

$$ (\ell+1)\int_{\mathrm{B}^{\flat\times}\backslash(\mathrm{B}^{\flat}\otimes\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})^{\times}/U^{\flat}}\phi(r)\mathrm{d}r, $$

where $U^{\flat}:=U\cap(\mathrm{B}^{\flat}\otimes\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})^{\times}$, and $\mathrm{d}r$ *is the counting measure on the integration domain which is a finite set. In particular, the above functional is independent of ℓ, up to an elementary factor in $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$.*

The first part of the theorem does not require the appearance of $\mathrm{B}^{\flat}$, ${}^{\ell}\mathrm{B}^{\flat}$ and hence ${}^{\ell}X^{\flat}$. In particular, B is not necessarily the base change of a rational quaternion algebra.

1.3. **Outline of the article.** The main part of the article has three sections.

We develop a general tool for computing the Galois cohomology of étale cohomology of certain varieties over local fields, using the weight spectral sequence, in §2. In §2.1, we recall the notion of (strictly) semistable schemes and the associated weight spectral sequences. In §2.2, we use the weight spectral sequence to study the Galois cohomology of étale cohomology groups. We define a map, called *potential map*, which involves Tate cycles on the special fiber. For a very nice coefficient ring, we relate the kernel and cokernel of the potential map to Galois cohomology. However, the condition on the coefficient ring is usually not satisfied

for the cohomology of the entire scheme. To remedy the problem, we study the "localization" of the previous picture at an ideal in some algebra of correspondences, in §2.3. In §2.4, we study the relation between the potential map and the Abel–Jacobi map.

The next section, §3, is a practice of the tool developed in the previous section. In §3.1, we define the crucial notion of *cubic-level raising pairs*. For such a pair, we state the theorem of level raising on (generalized) Hilbert threefolds: the Shimura variety defined by $\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}{}^{\ell}\mathrm{B}^{\times}$. The proof relies on a very delicate study on the potential map on a natural semistable model of the Hilbert threefold. In §3.3, we display the computation for the entire cohomology group, that is, before taking quotient. In §3.4, we display the computation for the cohomology group after taking quotient. The main complication here is to calculate a bunch of intersection numbers for well-selected cycles, and to show that all other cycles are irrelevant. The theorem of level raising will be proved in §3.4. In §3.5, we provide the rigorous definition of cubic Hirzebruch–Zagier classes, and then state and prove the reciprocity law for them, based on previous discussions.

In §4, we bound the Selmer group. In §4.1 and §4.2, we recall the definition of Bloch–Kato Selmer groups and some Galois-theoretical results from our previous article [Liu15]. In §4.3, we prove our main theorem, based on the reciprocity law for cubic Hirzebruch–Zagier classes. In the appendix §A, we collect some facts from [Zin82] about the poly-nodal model of the Hilbert threefold ${}^{\ell}X$, defined as a modular functor. We also provide a canonical description of certain strata in the special fiber of the poly-nodal model, adopting the ideas from [Rib90].

1.4. **Notation and conventions.**

- Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite set and Λ is an abelian group. Denote by $\Gamma(\mathcal{S},\Lambda)$ the group of Λ-valued functions on $\mathcal{S}$.
- For a prime power ℓ^f, we denote by $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^f}$ the field of cardinality ℓ^f. Put $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty}=\bigcup_{f\geq 1}\mathbb{F}_{\ell^f}$.
- For a field K, we denote by Γ_K the absolutely Galois group of F. Denote by $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{C}$. When K is a subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we take Γ_K to be $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$ hence a subgroup of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$.
- If K is a local field, then we denote by O_K its ring of integers, $\mathrm{I}_K\subset\Gamma_K$ the inertia subgroup. If v is a prime, then we simply write Γ_v for $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}_v}$ and I_v for $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbb{Q}_v}$.
- Let K be a local field and N be a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_K]$-module, we have an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0\to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(K,N)\to \mathrm{H}^1(K,N)\xrightarrow{\partial}\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K,N)\to 0, \tag{1.2}$$

  where $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(K,N)$ is the subgroup of unramified classes.
- We simply write $\otimes$ for the tensor product of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules (abelian groups).
- All (co)homology for schemes are computed in the étale site, unless otherwise specified.

Definition 1.7 (Absolutely neat ideal)**.** The following definition makes sense to a general totally real number field F. An ideal $\mathfrak{r}$ of O_F is said to be *absolutely neat* if for *every* F-quaternion algebra B with discriminant coprime to $\mathfrak{r}$, we have

- $R^\times=O_F^\times$ for every Eichler order R of level $\mathfrak{r}$ in B when B is totally definite;
- $R^\times/O_F^\times$ acts freely on the symmetric hermitian space attached to B for one and hence every Eichler order R of level $\mathfrak{r}$ in B when B is not totally definite.

Given a finite set $\square$ of primes of F, every ideal of O_F coprime to $\square$ contains an absolutely neat ideal coprime to $\square$.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Benedict Gross, Victor Rotger, Sug Woo Shin, Yunqing Tang, Yichao Tian, Liang Xiao, Shouwu Zhang, and Wei Zhang for their interest, helpful comments and discussions. He especially thanks Yichao Tian for informing him the result of Zink. The author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS–1302000.

2. Weight spectral sequence and Galois cohomology

Let K be a local field with residue field κ. We fix a prime p that is different from the characteristic of κ. Throughout this section, the coefficient ring Λ will be either $\mathbb{Q}_p$ or $\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu$ for some $\nu \geq 1$, and we put $\Lambda_0 = \mathbb{Z}_p$. In what follows, the letter p will serve as an index (mainly) for spectral sequences; this will not cause confusion as we will always use Λ or Λ_0.

2.1. Semistable schemes.

We first recall the following definition.

Definition 2.1 (Strictly semistable scheme)**.** Let X be a scheme locally of finite presentation over $\operatorname{Spec} O_K$. We say that X is *strictly semistable* if it is Zariski locally smooth over

$$\operatorname{Spec} O_K[t_1, \dots, t_s]/(t_1 \cdots t_s - \varpi)$$

for some $s \geq 0$ and a uniformizer ϖ of K.

Notation 2.2. Let X be a proper strictly semistable scheme over O_K. The special fiber $X_\kappa := X \otimes_{O_K} \kappa$ is a normal crossing divisor of X. Suppose that $\{X_i\}_{i\in I}$ is the set of irreducible components of X_κ. For $p \geq 0$, put

$$X_\kappa^{(p)} = \coprod_{J\subset I, \#J=p+1} \bigcap_{i\in J} X_i.$$

Then $X_\kappa^{(p)}$ is a finite disjoint union of smooth proper κ-schemes of codimension p. Once fixing an ordering of I, we have the pullback map

$$\delta_p^*\colon \mathrm{H}^q(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(p)}, \Lambda(j)) \to \mathrm{H}^q(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(p+1)}, \Lambda(j))$$

and the pushforward (Gysin) map

$$\delta_{p*}\colon \mathrm{H}^q(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(p)}, \Lambda(j)) \to \mathrm{H}^{q+2}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(p-1)}, \Lambda(j+1))$$

for every integer j. See [Sai03] for more details.

Let us recall the weight spectral sequence attached to X. Suppose that κ is a finite field. Denote by $K^{\mathrm{ur}} \subset \overline{K}$ the maximal unramified extension, which has residue field $\overline{\kappa}$ which is an algebraic closure of κ. Then $\Gamma_K/\mathrm{I}_K \simeq \Gamma_\kappa$. Denote by $t_0\colon \mathrm{I}_K \to \Lambda_0(1)$ the (p-adic) tame quotient homomorphism. We fix an element $T \in \mathrm{I}_K$ such that $t_0(T)$ is a topological generator of $\Lambda_0(1)$.

We have the weight spectral sequence ${}^r\mathrm{E} = {}^r\mathrm{E}_X$ attached to the (proper strictly semistable) scheme X and an integer r, where

$${}^r\mathrm{E}_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{i\geq \max(0,-p)} \mathrm{H}^{q-2i}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(p+2i)}, \Lambda(r-i)) \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{p+q}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r)).$$

This is also known as the Rapoport–Zink spectral sequence, first studied in [RZ82]; here we will follow the convention and discussion in [Sai03]. As indicated above, we will suppress the subscript X in the notation of the spectral sequence if it causes no confusion.

We list a part of the first page of the weight spectral sequence for later use.

(2.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
{}^r\mathrm{E}_1^{-3,2r} = \bigoplus_{i=3}^{r} \mathrm{H}^{2r-2i}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(2i-3)}, \Lambda(r-i)) & \xrightarrow{\mu^{-2,2r-1}} & \bigoplus_{i=2}^{r} \mathrm{H}^{2r-2i}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(2i-3)}, \Lambda(r-i+1)) = {}^r\mathrm{E}_1^{-1,2r-2} \\
\downarrow \mathrm{d}_1^{-3,2r} & & \downarrow \mathrm{d}_1^{-1,2r-2} \\
{}^r\mathrm{E}_1^{-2,2r} = \bigoplus_{i=2}^{r} \mathrm{H}^{2r-2i}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(2i-2)}, \Lambda(r-i)) & \xrightarrow{\mu^{-1,2r-1}} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{H}^{2r-2i}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(2i-2)}, \Lambda(r-i+1)) = {}^r\mathrm{E}_1^{0,2r-2} \\
\downarrow \mathrm{d}_1^{-2,2r} & & \downarrow \mathrm{d}_1^{0,2r-2} \\
{}^r\mathrm{E}_1^{-1,2r} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{H}^{2r-2i}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(2i-1)}, \Lambda(r-i)) & \xrightarrow{\mu^{0,2r-1}} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{H}^{2r-2i}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(2i-1)}, \Lambda(r-i+1)) = {}^r\mathrm{E}_1^{1,2r-2} \\
\downarrow \mathrm{d}_1^{-1,2r} & & \downarrow \mathrm{d}_1^{1,2r-2} \\
{}^r\mathrm{E}_1^{0,2r} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} \mathrm{H}^{2r-2i}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(2i)}, \Lambda(r-i)) & \xrightarrow{\mu^{1,2r-1}} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{H}^{2r-2i}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(2i)}, \Lambda(r-i+1)) = {}^r\mathrm{E}_1^{2,2r-2} \\
\downarrow \mathrm{d}_1^{0,2r} & & \downarrow \mathrm{d}_1^{1,2r-2} \\
{}^r\mathrm{E}_1^{1,2r} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} \mathrm{H}^{2r-2i}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(2i+1)}, \Lambda(r-i)) & \xrightarrow{\mu^{2,2r-1}} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{H}^{2r-2i}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(2i+1)}, \Lambda(r-i+1)) = {}^r\mathrm{E}_1^{3,2r-2},
\end{array}$$

in which all differentials $\mathrm{d}_1^{p,q}$ are appropriate sums of pullback and pushforward maps. The horizontal arrows are monodromy operators which are simply $- \otimes t_0(T)$ (on the first page). In particular, the map $\mu^{0,2r-1}$ is an isomorphism.

Denote by

$$\cdots \subset \mathscr{F}^{p+1}\mathrm{H}^n(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r)) \subset \mathscr{F}^{p}\mathrm{H}^n(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r)) \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{H}^n(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r))$$

the filtration given by the spectral sequence, such that

$$\mathscr{F}^{p}\mathrm{H}^n(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r))/\mathscr{F}^{p+1}\mathrm{H}^n(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r)) \simeq {}^r\mathrm{E}_\infty^{p,n-p}.$$

2.2. **Potential map and Galois cohomology.** We keep assuming that κ is a finite field and using the previous notation, until the end of this section. Let X be as in Notation 2.2 that is purely of relative dimension n.

Definition 2.3 (Potential map)**.** For every integer j, put

$$B^j(X_\kappa, \Lambda) = \ker[\delta_0^*\colon \mathrm{H}^{2j}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(0)}, \Lambda(j)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2j}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(1)}, \Lambda(j))],$$

and dually

$$B_j(X_\kappa, \Lambda) = \mathrm{coker}[\delta_{1*}\colon \mathrm{H}^{2(n-1-j)}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(1)}, \Lambda(n-1-j)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2(n-j)}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(0)}, \Lambda(n-j))].$$

Furthermore, put

$$B^j(X_\kappa, \Lambda)^0 = \ker[B^j(X_\kappa, \Lambda) \to {}^j\mathrm{E}_2^{0,2j}] \subset B^j(X_\kappa, \Lambda),$$

and set $B_j(X_\kappa, \Lambda) \to B_j(X_\kappa, \Lambda)_0$ to be the quotient map dual of the above inclusion.

Consider the composite map

$$\mathrm{H}^{2r-2}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(0)}, \Lambda(r-1)) \xrightarrow{\delta_0^*} \mathrm{H}^{2r-2}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(1)}, \Lambda(r-1)) \xrightarrow{\delta_{0*}} \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(0)}, \Lambda(r)).$$

Its image is contained in $B^r(X_\kappa,\Lambda)^0$ and dually, it factorizes through $B_{n+1-r}(X_\kappa,\Lambda)_0$. Thus we obtain the following map

$$B_{n+1-r}(X_\kappa,\Lambda)_0 \to B^r(X_\kappa,\Lambda)^0. \tag{2.2}$$

Now if we put

$$A^j(X_\kappa,\Lambda)^? = (B^j(X_\kappa,\Lambda)^?)^{\Gamma_\kappa}, \quad A_j(X_\kappa,\Lambda)_? = B_j(X_\kappa,\Lambda)_?^{\Gamma_\kappa}$$

for $? = \emptyset, 0$, then (2.2) induces the map

$$\Delta^r\colon A_{n+1-r}(X_\kappa,\Lambda)_0 \to A^r(X_\kappa,\Lambda)^0.$$

We call it the *potential map*.

Lemma 2.4. *In (2.1), we have the equality*

$$\operatorname{im} \mathrm{d}_1^{-1,2r} \cap \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(0)},\Lambda(r)) = B^r(X_\kappa,\Lambda)^0,$$

where $\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(0)},\Lambda(r)) = \ker \mu^{1,2r-1}$ *is a submodule of* ${}^r\mathrm{E}_1^{0,2r}$.

Proof. It is obvious from the definitions. □

Definition 2.5 (Nice coefficient)**.** We say that Λ is a *nice coefficient* for the spectral sequence ${}^r\mathrm{E} = {}^r\mathrm{E}_X$ if the following are satisfied:

- **(N1):** ${}^r\mathrm{E}$, with the coefficient Λ, degenerates at the second page;
- **(N2):** if ${}^r\mathrm{E}_2^{p,2r-1-p}(-1)$ has a non-trivial subquotient on which Γ_κ acts trivially, then $p = 1$.

We say that Λ is a *very nice coefficient* if moreover

- **(N3):** for every subquotient $\Lambda[\Gamma_\kappa]$-module M of $\ker \mu^{1,2r-1} \oplus \operatorname{coker} \mu^{-1,2r-1}(-1)$, the natural map $M^{\Gamma_\kappa} \to M_{\Gamma_\kappa}$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.6. The coefficient $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}_p$ is always very nice for ${}^r\mathrm{E}_X$.

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose that Λ is a nice coefficient for ${}^r\mathrm{E}_X$.*

(1) *We have a canonical map*

$$\gamma^r\colon A^r(X_\kappa,\Lambda)^0 \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))).$$

(2) *If Λ is moreover very nice, then we have a canonical exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))) \to A_{n+1-r}(X_\kappa,\Lambda)_0 \xrightarrow{\Delta^r} A^r(X_\kappa,\Lambda)^0 \xrightarrow{\gamma^r} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))) \to 0.$$

Proof. Denote by $\mathrm{P}_K \subset \mathrm{I}_K$ the kernel of t_0. By the restriction-inflation exact sequence, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_K/\mathrm{P}_K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{P}_K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))).$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))$ is a finitely generated Λ-module, the last term vanishes and hence we have

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)))^{\Gamma_\kappa} \simeq \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_K/\mathrm{P}_K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)))^{\Gamma_\kappa}. \tag{2.3}$$

On the other hand, the map $t_0\colon \mathrm{I}_K \to \Lambda_0(1)$ induces a Γ_κ-equivariant isomorphism $\mathrm{I}_K/\mathrm{P}_K \simeq \Lambda_0(1)$. In particular, the quotient group $\mathrm{I}_K/\mathrm{P}_K$ is topologically generated by T and we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_K/\mathrm{P}_K, \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r))) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r))/(T-1)\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r)). \tag{2.4}$$

The map $\mu^{0,2r-1}$ in (2.1) induces a map, known as the monodromy operator,

$$\tilde{\mu}\colon {}^r\mathrm{E}_2^{-1,2r} \to {}^r\mathrm{E}_2^{1,2r-2}(-1)$$

of $\Lambda[\Gamma_\kappa]$-modules.

Now we consider (1). By Definition 2.5 (N1, N2) and the computation of the action of T on $\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r))$ via the weight spectral sequence (see [Sai03, Corollary 2.8 (2)]), we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r))}{(T-1)\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r))}\right)^{\Gamma_\kappa} \simeq \left(\frac{{}^r\mathrm{E}_2^{1,2r-2}(-1)}{\tilde{\mu}\,{}^r\mathrm{E}_2^{-1,2r}}\right)^{\Gamma_\kappa}, \tag{2.5}$$

By definition, we have

$$\frac{{}^r\mathrm{E}_2^{1,2r-2}(-1)}{\tilde{\mu}\,{}^r\mathrm{E}_2^{-1,2r}} = \frac{\ker(\mathrm{d}_1^{1,2r-2}(-1))}{\ker \mathrm{d}_1^{-1,2r} \oplus \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{d}_1^{0,2r-2}(-1))}.$$

Thus by Lemma 2.4, we have the exact sequence

$$\mathrm{H}^{2r-2}(X_\kappa^{(0)}, \Lambda(r-1)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_1^{-1,2r} \circ \mathrm{d}_1^{0,2r-2}(-1)} B^r(X_\kappa, \Lambda)^0 \to \frac{\ker(\mathrm{d}_1^{1,2r-2}(-1))}{\ker \mathrm{d}_1^{-1,2r} \oplus \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{d}_1^{0,2r-2}(-1))} \to 0,$$

which is the same as

$$B_{n+1-r}(X_\kappa^{(0)}, \Lambda)_0 \to B^r(X_\kappa, \Lambda)^0 \to \frac{\ker(\mathrm{d}_1^{1,2r-2}(-1))}{\ker \mathrm{d}_1^{-1,2r} \oplus \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{d}_1^{0,2r-2}(-1))} \to 0.$$

It induces the following sequence

$$B_{n+1-r}(X_\kappa, \Lambda)_0 \xrightarrow{(2.2)} B^r(X_\kappa, \Lambda)^0 \to \frac{{}^r\mathrm{E}_2^{1,2r-2}(-1)}{\tilde{\mu}\,{}^r\mathrm{E}_2^{-1,2r}} \to 0. \tag{2.6}$$

In particular, taking Γ_κ-invariant and combining with (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), we obtain the sequence

$$A_{n+1-r}(X_\kappa, \Lambda)_0 \xrightarrow{\Delta^r} A^r(X_\kappa, \Lambda)^0 \xrightarrow{\gamma^r} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K, \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r))). \tag{2.7}$$

Now we consider (2), by Definition 2.5 (N2) and the above discussion, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_K, \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r)))^{\Gamma_\kappa} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \left(\frac{{}^r\mathrm{E}_2^{1,2r-2}(-1)}{\tilde{\mu}\,{}^r\mathrm{E}_2^{-1,2r}}\right)^{\Gamma_\kappa} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_K, \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r)))_{\Gamma_\kappa} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \left(\frac{{}^r\mathrm{E}_2^{1,2r-2}(-1)}{\tilde{\mu}\,{}^r\mathrm{E}_2^{-1,2r}}\right)_{\Gamma_\kappa} \end{array}.$$

By Definition 2.5 (N3) and (2.6), we vertical arrow on the right is an isomorphism. Thus, the one on the left is an isomorphism as well. Again by Definition 2.5 (N3), we have isomorphisms

$$A^r(X_\kappa, \Lambda)^0 = (B^r(X_\kappa, \Lambda)^0)_{\Gamma_\kappa}, \quad A_{n+1-r}(X_\kappa, \Lambda)_0 = (B_{n+1-r}(X_\kappa, \Lambda)_0)_{\Gamma_\kappa}.$$

Thus, (2) follows from (2.7) and Tate and Poincaré duality. □

Remark 2.8. When the coefficient ring Λ is $\mathbb{Q}_p$, the Monodromy-Weight Conjecture implies that the map $\Delta^r\colon A_{n+1-r}(X_\kappa,\Lambda)_0 \to A^r(X_\kappa,\Lambda)^0$ is an isomorphism, which is equivalent to the vanishing of $\mathrm{H}^1(K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)))$ by Theorem 2.7.

For further application, we would like to give an alternative description of the map γ^r in Theorem 2.7 (1). Denote by $\mathrm{R}\Psi$ (resp. $\mathrm{R}\Phi$) the nearby (resp. vanishing) cycle functor. The distinguished triangle $\Lambda \to \mathrm{R}\Psi\Lambda \to \mathrm{R}\Phi\Lambda \xrightarrow{+1} \Lambda$ induces an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(r)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\mathrm{R}\Psi\Lambda(r)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)) \to \\ \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\mathrm{R}\Phi\Lambda(r)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(r)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\mathrm{R}\Psi\Lambda(r)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)),\end{aligned}$$

which induces the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))/\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(r)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\mathrm{R}\Phi\Lambda(r)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(r))^0 \to 0,$$

where

$$\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(r))^0 \coloneqq \ker[\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(r)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\mathrm{R}\Psi\Lambda(r)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))].$$

Since I_K acts trivially on $\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(r))^0$, we have a coboundary map

$$\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(r))^0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))/\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(r))). \tag{2.8}$$

By Definition 2.5 (N1), we have an inclusion

$${}^r\mathrm{E}_2^{0,2r} \simeq {}^r\mathrm{E}_\infty^{0,2r} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))/\mathscr{F}^1\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))$$

and the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B^r(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))/\mathscr{F}^1\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)).\end{array}$$

Then we have an induced map $\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(r))^0 \to B^r(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda)^0$. The following lemma is straightforward from the constructions.

Lemma 2.9. *The composition of the following maps*

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(r))^0)^{\Gamma_\kappa} \to A^r(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda)^0 \xrightarrow{\gamma^r} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))) \\ \simeq \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)))^{\Gamma_\kappa} \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))/\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(r)))^{\Gamma_\kappa}\end{aligned}$$

coincides with (2.8) *after taking* Γ_κ*-invariants.*

2.3. **Correspondence, functoriality, and localization.** Let X be as in Notation 2.2. Let $f\colon X' \to X$ be a finite étale morphism. Then X' is also a proper strictly semistable scheme over O_K.

Construction 2.10. By [Sai03, Corollary 2.14], we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathrm{H}^{2j}(X'^{(0)}_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(j)) & \xrightarrow{\delta_0^*} & \mathrm{H}^{2j}(X'^{(1)}_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(j)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'^{(0)}_{\overline{\kappa}*}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'^{(0)}_{\overline{\kappa}*}} \\ \mathrm{H}^{2j}(X^{(0)}_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(j)) & \xrightarrow{\delta_0^*} & \mathrm{H}^{2j}(X^{(1)}_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(j)),\end{array}$$

which induces, together with duality, maps

$$f_{\kappa!}\colon B^j(X'_\kappa,\Lambda)\to B^j(X_\kappa,\Lambda),\quad f^!_\kappa\colon B_j(X_\kappa,\Lambda)\to B_j(X'_\kappa,\Lambda)$$

for every integer j. Trivially, we have maps

$$f^*_\kappa\colon B^j(X_\kappa,\Lambda)\to B^j(X'_\kappa,\Lambda),\quad f_{\kappa*}\colon B_j(X'_\kappa,\Lambda)\to B_j(X_\kappa,\Lambda)$$

for every integer j.

Definition 2.11 (Étale correspondence)**.** An *étale correspondence* $T=(X',f,g)$ of X is a diagram

$$X\xleftarrow{f}X'\xrightarrow{g}X,$$

where both f and g are finite étale morphisms. The composition $T_2\circ T_1=(X_3,f_3,g_3)$ of two étale correspondences $T_1=(X_1,f_1,g_1)$ and $T_2=(X_2,f_2,g_2)$ is defined as



in which the middle square Cartesian. The collection of all étale correspondences of X forms a monoidal category ÉtCor_X where the monoidal structure is given by compositions.

Construction 2.12. An étale correspondence $T=(X',f,g)$ of X induces an endomorphism on $B_j(X_\kappa,\Lambda)$ as $f_{\kappa*}\circ g^!_\kappa$, and an endomorphism on $B^j(X_\kappa,\Lambda)$ as $f_{\kappa!}\circ g^*_\kappa$, for every integer j. In both cases, we denote this endomorphism by $T^\star$. Clearly, we have $(T_2\circ T_1)^\star=T_1^\star\circ T_2^\star$.

By the functoriality of weight spectral sequences [Sai03, §2.3], the following commutative diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_{n+1-r}(X_\kappa,\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{(2.2)} & B^r(X_\kappa,\Lambda) \\ {\scriptstyle T^\star}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle T^\star} \\ B_{n+1-r}(X_\kappa,\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{(2.2)} & B^r(X_\kappa,\Lambda). \end{array}$$

If Λ is a nice coefficient for ${}^r\mathrm{E}_X$, then by the functoriality of weight spectral sequences, the map $T^\star$ factorizes through (resp. preserves) $B_j(X_\kappa,\Lambda)_0$ (resp. $B^j(X_\kappa,\Lambda)^0$). Moreover, by Lemma 2.9, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^r(X_\kappa,\Lambda)^0 & \xrightarrow{\gamma^r_X} & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle T^\star} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle T^\star} \\ A^r(X_\kappa,\Lambda)^0 & \xrightarrow{\gamma^r_X} & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r))), \end{array}$$

where the second endomorphism $T^\star$ is defined as $f_*\circ g^*$.

Suppose that we have a (nonempty) monoidal subcategory $\mathcal{T}$ of ÉtCor_X such that $\pi_1(\mathcal{T})$ is trivial and $\pi_0(\mathcal{T})$ is commutative. Then $\mathcal{T}$ acts on the spectral sequence ${}^r\mathrm{E}={}^r\mathrm{E}_X$. By abuse of notation, we put $\Lambda[\mathcal{T}]=\Lambda[\pi_0(\mathcal{T})]$, which is a commutative Λ-algebra. Thus, each

term ${}^r\mathrm{E}_1^{p,q}$ becomes a $\Lambda[\mathcal{T}]$-module. Moreover, the cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^q(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(p)}, \Lambda(j))$ and $\mathrm{H}^n(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(j))$ are also $\Lambda[\mathcal{T}]$-modules. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be an ideal of $\Lambda[\mathcal{T}]$. We have a localized weight spectral sequence ${}^r\mathrm{E}_{\mathfrak{m}} = {}^r\mathrm{E}_{X,\mathfrak{m}}$ such that $({}^r\mathrm{E}_{\mathfrak{m}})_1^{p,q} = ({}^r\mathrm{E}_1^{p,q})_{\mathfrak{m}}$, and

$$({}^r\mathrm{E}_{\mathfrak{m}})_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{i \geq \max(0,-p)} \mathrm{H}^{q-2i}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(p+2i)}, \Lambda(r-i))_{\mathfrak{m}} \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{p+q}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r))_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Definition 2.13 (Localized potential map)**.** By Construction 2.12, the map (2.2) is $\Lambda[\mathcal{T}]$-linear. Thus, we have the following localized map

$$(B_{n+1-r}(X_\kappa, \Lambda)_0)_{\mathfrak{m}} \to B^r(X_\kappa, \Lambda)^0_{\mathfrak{m}}. \tag{2.9}$$

Now if we put

$$A^j_{\mathfrak{m}}(X_\kappa, \Lambda)^? = (B^j(X_\kappa, \Lambda)^?_{\mathfrak{m}})^{\Gamma_\kappa}, \quad A^{\mathfrak{m}}_j(X_\kappa, \Lambda)_? = ((B_j(X_\kappa, \Lambda)_?)_{\mathfrak{m}})^{\Gamma_\kappa}$$

for $? = \emptyset, 0$, then (2.9) induces the map

$$\Delta^r_{\mathfrak{m}} \colon A^{\mathfrak{m}}_{n+1-r}(X_\kappa, \Lambda)_0 \to A^r_{\mathfrak{m}}(X_\kappa, \Lambda)^0.$$

We call it the *localized potential map*.

Definition 2.14 (Nice coefficient, case of localization)**.** We say that Λ is a *nice coefficient* for the spectral sequence ${}^r\mathrm{E}_{\mathfrak{m}} = {}^r\mathrm{E}_{X,\mathfrak{m}}$ if the following are satisfied:

- **(M1):** ${}^r\mathrm{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, with the coefficient Λ, degenerates at the second page;
- **(M2):** if $({}^r\mathrm{E}_{\mathfrak{m}})_2^{p,2r-1-p}(-1)$ has a non-trivial subquotient on which Γ_κ acts trivially, then $p = 1$.

We say that Λ is a *very nice coefficient* if moreover

- **(M3):** for every subquotient $\Lambda[\Gamma_\kappa]$-module M of $\ker \mu^{1,2r-1}_{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus \operatorname{coker} \mu^{-1,2r-1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(-1)$, the natural map $M^{\Gamma_\kappa} \to M_{\Gamma_\kappa}$ is an isomorphism.

The following is the localized version of (a part of) Theorem 2.7, with the same proof.

Theorem 2.15. *Suppose that Λ is a very nice coefficient for ${}^r\mathrm{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Then we have a canonical exact sequence*

$$A^{\mathfrak{m}}_{n+1-r}(X_\kappa, \Lambda)_0 \xrightarrow{\Delta^r_{\mathfrak{m}}} A^r_{\mathfrak{m}}(X_\kappa, \Lambda)^0 \xrightarrow{\gamma^r_{\mathfrak{m}}} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K, \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r))_{\mathfrak{m}}) \to 0.$$

2.4. **Relation with Abel–Jacobi maps.** Let X be as in Notation 2.2. For every integer $j \geq 0$, we have a cycle class map

$$\mathrm{cl} \colon \mathrm{CH}^j(X_K) \otimes \Lambda \to \mathrm{H}^{2j}(X_K, \Lambda(j)). \tag{2.10}$$

We denote by $\mathrm{CH}^j(X_K, \Lambda)^0$ the kernel of cl composed with $\mathrm{H}^{2j}(X_K, \Lambda(j)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2j}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(j))$. We further have an Abel–Jacobi map

$$\alpha \colon \mathrm{CH}^j(X_K, \Lambda)^0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(K, \mathrm{H}^{2j-1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(j))). \tag{2.11}$$

Now we suppose that Λ is a nice coefficient for ${}^r\mathrm{E}_X$. Pick up an element of $\mathrm{CH}^r(X_K, \Lambda)^0$, represented by a cycle z. Denote by Z the Zariski closure of the support of z in X. We have a closed immersion $j \colon Z \to X$ and its complement $i \colon U \coloneqq X \setminus Z \to X$.

Put $S = \operatorname{Spec} O_{K^{\mathrm{ur}}}$, a strictly henselian trait, with the special fiber $\operatorname{Spec} \overline{\kappa}$ and the geometric generic fiber $\operatorname{Spec} \overline{K}$. The representative z defines a class in $\mathrm{H}^{2r}_Z(X, \Lambda(r)) = \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X, j_! j^! \Lambda(r))$, which by adjunction gives rise to a class in $\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X, \Lambda(r))$. By restriction, this class induces an element $\widehat{z} \in \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(r))^{\Gamma_\kappa}$.

Lemma 2.16. *The element $\widehat{z}$ belongs to the submodule $\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(r))^0$.*

By the lemma, we may regard $\widehat{z}$ as an element in $A^r(X_\kappa, \Lambda)^0$.

Proof. Note that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{R}\Psi j_{S!}j_S^!\Lambda & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Psi\Lambda \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ (j_{S!}j_S^!\Lambda)|_{X_{\overline{\kappa}}} & \longrightarrow & \Lambda \end{array}$$

commutes in the category $\mathrm{D}^+(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda)$. Denote by z' the class in $\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, j_{S!}j_S^!\Lambda(r))$ induced by z. It suffices to show that the image of z' under the composite map

$$\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, j_{S!}j_S^!\Lambda(r)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathrm{R}\Psi j_{S!}j_S^!\Lambda(r)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathrm{R}\Psi\Lambda) = \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r))$$

vanishes. This follows from the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_S, j_{S!}j_S^!\Lambda(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{K}}, j_{\overline{K}!}j_{\overline{K}}^!\Lambda(r)) \\ \downarrow & & \| \\ \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, j_{S!}j_S^!\Lambda(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \mathrm{R}\Psi j_{S!}j_S^!\Lambda(r)), \end{array}$$

and the assumption that (the class of) z belongs to $\mathrm{CH}^r(X_K, \Lambda)^0$. □

Proposition 2.17. *Let notation be as above. Suppose that $\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(r))$ has no non-trivial subquotient on which Γ_κ acts trivially. Then we have*

$$\gamma^r(\widehat{z}) = \partial(\alpha(z))$$

as an identify in $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K, \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r)))$, where γ^r is the map in Theorem 2.7 (1) and ∂ is the map in (1.2).

Proof. First we note that the condition $\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(r))$ has no non-trivial subquotient on which Γ_κ acts trivially implies that the map

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_K, \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r)))^{\Gamma_\kappa} \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_K, \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}}, \Lambda(r))/\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(r)))^{\Gamma_\kappa}$$

is an isomorphism.

Consider the following maps of exact triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathrm{R}\Psi j_{S!}j_S^!\Lambda & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Psi\Lambda & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Psi i_{S*}i_S^*\Lambda & \xrightarrow{+1} & \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow{=} & & \uparrow & & \\ (j_{S!}j_S^!\Lambda)|_{X_{\overline{\kappa}}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Psi\Lambda & \longrightarrow & F & \xrightarrow{+1} & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{=} & & \downarrow & & \\ \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Psi\Lambda & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Phi\Lambda & \xrightarrow{+1} & \end{array}$$

in $\mathrm{D}^+(X_{\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda)$ with equivariant I_K-actions, where F is a cone of the composite map $(j_{S!}j_S^!\Lambda)|_{X_{\overline{\kappa}}} \to \Lambda \to \mathrm{R}\Psi\Lambda$. Taking cohomology and by the cohomological semi-purity of $j_S^!$,

we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(U_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{K}},j_{\overline{K}!}j_{\overline{K}}^{!}\Lambda(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)) \\
\| & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \| \\
\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},F(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},j_{S!}j_{S}^{!}\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)) \\
\| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| \\
\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\mathrm{R}\Phi\Lambda(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(r)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)).
\end{array}$$

Denote by z' the class in $\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{\overline{\kappa}},j_{S!}j_{S}^{!}\Lambda(r))$ induced by z as before. By deriving $-^{\mathrm{I}_K}$, we obtain a class $[z']$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_K,\mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(X_{\overline{K}},\Lambda(r)))$ from z' under the coboundary map. By an alternative definition of α, the class $[z']$ is the same as $\partial(\alpha(z))$ if we derive it from the top sequence. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.9 and the remark at the beginning of the proof, the class $[z']$ is the same as $\gamma^r(\widehat{z})$ if we derive it from the bottom sequence. □

The following lemma provides a way to compute $\widehat{z}$ in certain cases.

Lemma 2.18. *Let notation be as above and assume that Z is regular. Let X_i be an irreducible component of X_κ such that $Z\times_X X_i$ is regular and purely of codimension r in X_i. Then the image of $\widehat{z}$ in $\mathrm{H}^{2r}(X_{i,\overline{\kappa}},\Lambda(r))$ is the geometric cycle class of $Z\times_X X_i$.*

Proof. We have the following Cartesian diagram of schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Z\times_X X_i & \xrightarrow{j'} & X_i \\
{\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\
Z & \xrightarrow{j} & X,
\end{array}$$

which induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
j_!j^!\Lambda_X(r) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_X(r) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
f_*f^*j_!j^!\Lambda_X(r) & \longrightarrow & f_*f^*\Lambda_X
\end{array}$$

in $\mathrm{D}^+(X,\Lambda)$. Since Z is regular, we have $j^!\Lambda_X(r)\simeq j^*\Lambda_X[-2r]$ by the cohomological purity. Therefore, by base change we have

$$f_*f^*j_!j^!\Lambda_X(r)\simeq f_*f^*j_!j^*\Lambda_X[-2r]\simeq f_*j'_!g^*j^*\Lambda_X[-2r]\simeq f_*j'_!j'^*\Lambda_{X_i}[-2r].$$

Since $Z\times_X X_i$ is regular and purely of codimension r in X_i, we have $j'^*\Lambda_{X_i}[-2r]\simeq j'^!\Lambda_{X_i}(r)$. The lemma follows by the construction of the cycle class map. □

3. A reciprocity law for cubic Hirzebruch–Zagier cycles

In this section, we fix a totally definite quaternion algebra B over F with discriminant ideal $\mathfrak{r}_0$. For every ideal $\mathfrak{r}$ of O_F coprime to $\mathfrak{r}_0$, we denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r})=\mathcal{S}(\mathrm{B},\mathfrak{r})$ the set of isomorphism classes of (O_F-linear) oriented Eichler orders of level $\mathfrak{r}$ in B. Throughout this section, we choose such an ideal $\mathfrak{r}$ that is absolutely neat (Definition 1.7).

3.1. **Level raising on Hilbert threefolds.**

Notation 3.1. Let $\Pi = \bigotimes'_v \Pi_v$ be an irreducible automorphic representation of $\mathrm{B}^\times(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that

- Π is of infinite dimension;
- Π_v is the trivial representation if B is ramified at v;
- Π_v has nontrivial invariant subspace under the action of $(R\otimes\mathbb{A})^\times$ for some and hence every R in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r})$;
- the field of definition of Π is $\mathbb{Q}$;
- Π has trivial central character.

In particular, there is a unique ideal $\mathfrak{r}_\Pi$ of O_F containing $\mathfrak{r}$ such that the invariant subspace of Π under $(R_\Pi \otimes \mathbb{A})^\times$ has dimension 1 for some and hence every R_Π in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}_\Pi)$. Define $\xi_\mathfrak{r}$ to be the number of ideals of O_F that contain $\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}$.

Notation 3.2. We define $\mu(\mathfrak{r}_\Pi, \mathfrak{r})$ to be the cardinality of $R^\times/R_\Pi^\times$ for some and hence every pair $(R, R_\Pi) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}_\Pi)$ with $R_\Pi \subset R$.

Definition 3.3 (Hecke algebra)**.** For every ideal $\mathfrak{s}$ of O_F, we denote by $\mathbb{T}^\mathfrak{s}$ the spherical Hecke algebra away from $\mathfrak{r}_0\mathfrak{s}$. The representation Π determines a homomorphism

$$\phi_\Pi^\mathfrak{s} \colon \mathbb{T}^\mathfrak{s} \to \mathbb{Z},$$

whose image is not contained in a proper ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$. We simply write ϕ_Π for $\phi_\Pi^{\mathfrak{r}_\Pi}$. For every prime power p^ν, denote by $\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,p^\nu}^\mathfrak{s}$ the kernel of $\phi_\Pi^\mathfrak{s}$ composed with the reduction map $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu$.

Definition 3.4. The automorphic representation Π gives rise to a Galois representation

$$\rho_{\Pi,p} \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,p})$$

with a canonical polarization $\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,p} \times \mathrm{N}_{\Pi,p} \to \mathbb{Z}_p(1)$, where $\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,p}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of rank 2. For $\nu \geq 1$, denote by

$$\bar\rho_{\Pi,p}^\nu \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}(\bar{\mathrm{N}}_{\Pi,p}^\nu)$$

the induced residue representations, where $\bar{\mathrm{N}}_{\Pi,p}^\nu = \mathrm{N}_{\Pi,p} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu$. We will suppress ν from relevant notation if it is 1.

Notation 3.5 (Degeneracy maps)**.** For each divisor $\mathfrak{d}$ of $\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}$, we have a degeneracy map

$$\delta_\mathfrak{d} \colon \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}_\Pi)$$

such that δ_{O_F} is the natural projection. Later, we will also use the two degeneracy maps

$$\delta^+ \coloneqq \delta_{O_F} \colon \mathcal{S}(\ell\mathfrak{r}) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}), \quad \delta^- \coloneqq \delta_{(\ell)} \colon \mathcal{S}(\ell\mathfrak{r}) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r})$$

for a prime ℓ inert in F and coprime to $2\mathfrak{r}_0\mathfrak{r}$.

Let $\mathfrak{s} \subset O_F$ be an ideal coprime to $\mathfrak{r}_0$. There is a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack $\mathcal{Z}_\mathfrak{s}$ over $\operatorname{Spec} F$, such that for every $\iota \in \Phi_F$, the underlying complex analytic stack of $\mathcal{Z}_\mathfrak{s} \otimes_{F,\iota} \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to the following quotient stack

$$\mathrm{B}^{(\iota)\times}\backslash\mathfrak{H}^2 \times (\mathrm{B}^{(\iota)} \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})^\times/(S \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})^\times,$$

where $\mathrm{B}^{(\iota)}$ is a quaternion algebra over F with an isomorphism $\mathrm{B}^{(\iota)} \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq \mathrm{B} \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and such that ι is the unique archimedean place over which $\mathrm{B}^{(\iota)}$ is ramified; and S is some Eichler order of level $\mathfrak{s}$ in $\mathrm{B}^{(\iota)}$. By the Comparison Theorem, the singular cohomology group

$\mathrm{H}^i((\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{s}} \otimes_{F,\iota} \mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{Z})$ does not depend on ι. We will simply denote it as $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{s}}, \mathbb{Z})$; it is a $\mathbb{T}^{\mathfrak{s}}$-module. Moreover, we know that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{s}}, \mathbb{Z})$ is a finite abelian group.

Definition 3.6 (Perfect prime)**.** Let p be a prime. We say that

(1) p is an *isolated prime* for $(\Pi, \mathfrak{r})$ if
- $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}_\Pi)_{\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ and the natural map
$$\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{d}|\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}} \delta_{\mathfrak{d}}^* \colon \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{d}|\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}_\Pi)_{\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}} \to \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r})_{\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}}$$
is an isomorphism;
- $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{r}_\Pi}, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus 4}$ and the natural map
$$\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{d}|\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}} \delta_{\mathfrak{d}}^* \colon \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{d}|\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}} \mathrm{H}^2(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{r}_\Pi}, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}} \to \mathrm{H}^2(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{r}}, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}}$$
is an isomorphism;

(2) p is an *ℓ-isolated prime* for $(\Pi, \mathfrak{r})$ if
- $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}_\Pi)_{\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ and the natural map
$$\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{d}|\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}} \delta_{\mathfrak{d}}^* \colon \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{d}|\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}_\Pi)_{\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}} \to \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r})_{\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}}$$
is an isomorphism;
- $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{r}_\Pi}, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus 4}$ and the natural map
$$\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{d}|\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}} \delta_{\mathfrak{d}}^* \colon \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{d}|\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}} \mathrm{H}^2(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{r}_\Pi}, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}} \to \mathrm{H}^2(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{r}}, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}}$$
is an isomorphism;

(3) p is a *generic prime* for Π if $(\mathrm{Ind}_F^{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\rho}_{\Pi,p})|_{\Gamma_{\widetilde{F}}}$ has the largest possible image, which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \oplus \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \oplus \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p))$;

(4) p is a *perfect prime* (with respect to $(\Pi, \mathfrak{r})$) if
   (a) $p \geq 11$;
   (b) it is coprime to $\mathfrak{r}_0\mathfrak{r}_\Pi \operatorname{disc} F \cdot \mu(\mathfrak{r}_\Pi, \mathfrak{r}) \cdot \#\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{r}}, \mathbb{Z}) \cdot \#\mathrm{H}^2(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{r}}, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tor}}$;
   (c) it is an isolated prime for $(\Pi, \mathfrak{r})$;
   (d) it is a generic prime for Π.

Notation 3.7. We introduce some polynomials. Put

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}_0(t) &= 1 - 16t^3 + 120t^6 - 560t^9 + 1820t^{12} - 4368t^{15} + 8008t^{18} - 11440t^{21} + 12870t^{24} \\
&\quad - 11440t^{27} + 8008t^{30} - 4368t^{33} + 1820t^{36} - 560t^{39} + 120t^{42} - 16t^{45} + t^{48}, \\
\mathcal{Q}_1(t) &= -2t(1+t)(1-t+t^2)^2(-1+t)^{14}(1+t+t^2)^{15}, \\
\mathcal{Q}_2(t) &= 2t^2(1+t^3)(-1+t)^{14}(1+t+t^2)^{15}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, define $\mathcal{Q}(t)$ to be the product of

$$\mathcal{Q}_0(t), \quad \mathcal{Q}_1(t) + \mathcal{Q}_2(t) + (t^3+1)\mathcal{Q}_0(t), \quad \mathcal{Q}_1(t) - 2\mathcal{Q}_2(t) - \frac{t^3+1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_0(t),$$

which is an element in $\mathbb{Z}[1/2][t]$. The speciality of these polynomials will be clear in the proof of Proposition 3.30.

Definition 3.8 (Cubic-level raising pair)**.** We say that (p^ν, ℓ) is a *cubic-level raising pair* (with respect to $(\Pi, \mathfrak{r})$) if

- **(C1):** p is a perfect prime (with respect to $(\Pi, \mathfrak{r})$);
- **(C2):** ℓ is inert in F, unramified in $\widetilde{F}$, and coprime to $2\mathfrak{r}_0\mathfrak{r}$;
- **(C3):** p is an ℓ-isolated prime for $(\Pi, \mathfrak{r})$;
- **(C4):** p does not divide $\ell(\ell^{18}-1)(\ell^6+1)\mathfrak{Q}(\ell)$;
- **(C5):** $\phi_\Pi(\mathrm{T}_\ell) \equiv \ell^3 + 1 \mod p^\nu$.

Note that only the last condition depends on the exponent ν of p.

We say that p is a *strongly perfect prime* (with respect to $(\Pi, \mathfrak{r})$) if there exists a cubic-level raising pair (p, ℓ) for some ℓ.

Remark 3.9. If there exists ℓ such that (p, ℓ) is a cubic-level raising pair (that is, p is a strongly perfect prime), then for every $\nu \geq 1$, there exists infinitely many ℓ such that (p^ν, ℓ) is a cubic-level raising pair, by the Chebotarev Density Theorem.

Notation 3.10 (Multiplicative induction)**.** Recall that for each $\nu \geq 1$, we have the representation $\bar{\rho}^\nu_{\Pi,p} \colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}(\bar{\mathrm{N}}^\nu_{\Pi,p})$. Choosing any embedding $\iota \colon F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have the multiplicative induced representation from Γ_F to $\Gamma_\mathbb{Q}$:

$$^\sharp\bar{\rho}^\nu_{\Pi,p} \colon \Gamma_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathrm{GL}(^\sharp\bar{\mathrm{N}}^\nu_{\Pi,p}).$$

It is independent of the choice of ι, up to isomorphism. The underlying $\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu$-module of $^\sharp\bar{\mathrm{N}}^\nu_{\Pi,p}$ is simply isomorphic to $(\bar{\mathrm{N}}^\nu_{\Pi,p})^{\otimes 3}$.

Let ℓ be a prime inert in F and coprime to $2\mathfrak{r}_0\mathfrak{r}$, and we will regard ℓ as a place of F as well. Let $^\ell\mathrm{B}$ be the totally indefinite quaternionic algebra over F such that $^\ell\mathrm{B}\otimes\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\ell \simeq \mathrm{B}\otimes\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\ell$, which exists uniquely up to isomorphism. In particular, $^\ell\mathrm{B}$ is ramified at ℓ and hence division. From Definition A.1, we have the modular scheme $\mathcal{X}_{\ell_{\mathrm{B},\mathfrak{r}}}$ over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$. Since B is fixed, we will alter the notation to $^\ell\mathcal{X}_\mathfrak{r}$. The Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}$ acts on $^\ell\mathcal{X}_\mathfrak{r}$ through étale correspondences.

Recall that $\widetilde{F} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the normal closure of F, and $F_0 \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the unique subfield contained in $\widetilde{F}$ of degree $[\widetilde{F} : F]$ (≤ 2). In particular, the prime ℓ is always split in F_0.

Theorem 3.11. *Let (p^ν, ℓ) be a cubic-level raising pair. Then we have*

1. $\mathrm{H}^j(^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}} = 0$ *for* $j \neq 3$*;*
2. *a canonical decomposition of the $\Gamma_\mathbb{Q}$-representation*
$$M^\nu := \mathrm{H}^3(^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p^\nu}$$
as $M^\nu = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{d}|\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}} M^\nu_\mathfrak{d}$, such that each $M^\nu_\mathfrak{d}$ is isomorphic to $^\sharp\bar{\mathrm{N}}^\nu_{\Pi,p}(-1)$ after restriction to Γ_{F_0};
3. *a canonical isomorphism*
$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \mathrm{H}^3(^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p^\nu}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}), \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu)[\mathfrak{m}^\mathfrak{r}_{\Pi,p^\nu}], \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu).$$

3.2. **The semistable model.** In what follows, we fix a prime ℓ that is inert in F and coprime to $2\mathfrak{r}_0\mathfrak{r}$. Put $\Phi = \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$, $\Phi^+ = \{1, 3, 5\}$, and $\Phi^- = \{0, 2, 4\}$.

Notation 3.12. By Theorem A.5 and Proposition A.8, we know that $\mathcal{X} := {}^\ell\mathcal{X}_\mathfrak{r} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}} \mathbb{Z}_{\ell^6}$ is poly-nodal, such that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^{[0]} = X_{012} \coprod X_{123} \coprod X_{234} \coprod X_{345} \coprod X_{450} \coprod X_{501} \coprod X_{135} \coprod X_{024}.$$

Here, the notation X_{ijk} is a shortcut for $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\ell_{\mathrm{B},\mathfrak{r}}})_{\{i,j,k\}}$. To further ease notation, we denote X_{012} as $X_{(1)}$ and similarly for the other five subschemes after it, and put $X_{(+)} = X_{135}$, $X_{(-)} = X_{024}$. Moreover, we know that

- there is a *canonical* morphism $\varphi_{(\pm)}\colon X_{(\pm)} \to Z_{(\pm)}$ which is a $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$-bundle, where
$$Z_{(\pm)} = \coprod_{S_0^{\pm}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{\ell^6}$$
for $S_0^+ = S_0^- = \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r})$;
- for $i \in \Phi$, there is a Hecke equivariant morphism $\varphi_{(i)}\colon X_{(i)} \to Z_{(i)}$ which is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle, where $Z_{(i)}$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\ell_{\mathrm{B}(\{i-1,i,i+1\}),\mathfrak{r}}}$ (see Definition A.4).

By the Comparison Theorem, we have an isomorphism
$$\mathrm{H}^j(Z_{(i)} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell^6}} \mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \mathrm{H}^j(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{r}}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$$
of $\mathbb{T}^{\mathfrak{r}}$-modules, for every $i \in \Phi$ and $0 \le j \le 4$.

Notation 3.13. By the discussion before Proposition A.8, the three copies of $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles appearing in $X_{(+)} = X_{135}$ are indexed by the set $\Phi^- = \{0, 2, 4\}$ and we have morphisms



such that σ^3 is the Frobenius map of degree ℓ^3. Then we have
$$\begin{aligned}
X_{(+)} \cap X_{(0)} &= \{(a, a^\sigma, b)\},\\
X_{(+)} \cap X_{(2)} &= \{(a, b, b^\sigma)\},\\
X_{(+)} \cap X_{(4)} &= \{(c^\sigma, b, c)\},\\
X_{(+)} \cap X_{(1)} &= \{(a, a^\sigma, a^{\sigma^2})\},\\
X_{(+)} \cap X_{(3)} &= \{(b^{\sigma^2}, b, b^\sigma)\},\\
X_{(+)} \cap X_{(5)} &= \{(c^\sigma, c^{\sigma^2}, c)\},
\end{aligned}$$
where a, b, c are coordinates in bundles $\mathbb{P}^1_0, \mathbb{P}^1_2, \mathbb{P}^1_4$, respectively. There is a similar description for $X_{(-)}$ which we omit. In particular, we have
$$X_{(+)} \cap X_{(-)} = \{(a, a^\sigma, a^{\sigma^2}) \mid a^{\sigma^3} = a\},$$
which is naturally identified with a disjoint union of copies of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{\ell^6}$ indexed by the set $S_1 \coloneqq \mathcal{S}(\ell\mathfrak{r})$. The two projections
$$X_{(+)} \cap X_{(-)} \to X_{(+)} \to Z_{(+)}, \quad X_{(+)} \cap X_{(-)} \to X_{(-)} \to Z_{(-)}$$
induce the two degeneracy maps
$$\delta^+\colon S_1 \to S_0^+, \quad \delta^-\colon S_1 \to S_0^-,$$
respectively. For $g \in S_0^{\pm}$, we put $\mathrm{T}(g) = \delta^{\mp}((\delta^{\pm})^{-1}(g)) \subset S_0^{\mp}$. Finally, we set
$$\Gamma(S_1, \Lambda)^{00} = \ker[\Gamma(S_1, \Lambda) \xrightarrow{(\delta_*^+, \delta_*^-)} \Gamma(S_0^+, \Lambda) \oplus \Gamma(S_0^-, \Lambda)]. \tag{3.1}$$

Until the end of this section, we put $K = \mathbb{Q}_{\ell^6}$ and hence $O_K = \mathbb{Z}_{\ell^6}$, $\kappa = \mathbb{F}_{\ell^6}$. All schemes are automatically base changed to $\operatorname{Spec} O_K$.

Construction 3.14. Let

$$\pi\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X} \tag{3.2}$$

be the composition of the blow-up of $\mathcal{X}$ along the closed subscheme $X_{(+)}$ and the further blow-up along the strict transform of $X_{(-)}$. Then it is well-known that $\mathcal{Y}$ is a proper strictly semistable scheme over O_K. We put $Y = \mathcal{Y}_\kappa$. Let $Y_{(?)}$ be the inverse image of $X_{(?)}$ under π. For $i \in \Phi$, the restriction of π to $Y_{(i)}$, again denoted as

$$\pi\colon Y_{(i)} \to X_{(i)},$$

is the blow-up along the closed subscheme $X_{(\pm)} \cap X_{(i)}$ if $i \in \Phi^{\pm}$. The restricted morphism $\pi\colon Y_{(\pm)} \to X_{(\pm)}$ is obtained as follows: we first blow up $X_{(\pm)}$ along $X_{(+)} \cap X_{(-)}$ and then blow up along the strict transform of $\bigcup_{\Phi^{\pm}} X_{(\pm)} \cap X_{(i)}$.

Remark 3.15.

(1) Let $\pi'\colon \mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{X}$ be the composition of the blow-up of $\mathcal{X}$ along the closed subscheme $X_{(-)}$ and the further blow-up along the strict transform of $X_{(+)}$. Then $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Y}'$ are canonically isomorphic as schemes over $\mathcal{X}$. In particular, $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ acts on $\mathcal{Y}$.

(2) The dual reduction graph of the strictly semistable resolution π can be described as the following diagram



in which the dashed lines indicates new (two-dimensional) intersection of irreducible components on the special fiber caused by blow-ups.

(3) This above strictly semistable resolution in Construction 3.14 was used in [GS95]. There, the choice of the order of irreducible components is somewhat random. However, in our case, we have modular interpretation for each octant locally. Thus, our resolution is Hecke equivariant.

Construction 3.16 (Featuring cycles)**.** We construct some cycles on $Y_{(?)}$ as follows.

- For $i \in \Phi^{\pm}$, the intersection $Y_{(i)} \cap Y_{(\mp)}$ is a smooth hypersurface in $Y_{(i)}$ whose irreducible components are parameterized by $S_0^{\mp}$. For each $g \in S_0^{\mp}$, denote by F_g^i the corresponding element in $\mathrm{CH}^1(Y_{(i)})$.

- For $i \in \Phi^{\pm}$, the image $\varphi_{(i)}(X_{(\pm)} \cap X_{(i)})$ is a smooth curve in $Z_{(i)}$ whose irreducible components are parameterized by $S_0^{\pm}$. For each $g' \in S_0^{\pm}$, denote by $C^i_{g'}$ the corresponding element in $\mathrm{CH}^1(Z_{(i)})$ and define $F^i_{g'}$ to be $\pi^*\varphi^*_{(i)}C^i_{g'} \in \mathrm{CH}^1(Y_{(i)})$.
- For $i \in \Phi^{\pm}$, the exceptional divisor of the blow-up $\pi\colon Y_{(i)} \to X_{(i)}$, which is also the intersection $Y_{(i)} \cap Y_{(\pm)}$, is a smooth hypersurface in $Y_{(i)}$ whose irreducible components are parameterized by $S_0^{\pm}$. For each $g' \in S_0^{\pm}$, denote by $E^i_{g'}$ the the corresponding element in $\mathrm{CH}^1(Y_{(i)})$.
- The inverse image of $X_{(+)} \cap X_{(-)}$ under $\pi\colon Y_{(+)} \to X_{(+)}$ is a smooth hypersurface in $Y_{(+)}$ whose irreducible components are parameterized by S_1. For each $h \in S_1$, denote by H_h the the corresponding element in $\mathrm{CH}^1(Y_{(+)})$.

We call the above cycles F^i_g, $F^i_{g'}$, $E^i_{g'}$ and H_h, for all possible i, g, g' and h, *featuring cycles*. For each featuring cycle C, the scheme $Y_{(?)}$ such that $C \in \mathrm{CH}^1(Y_{(?)})$ is called the *location*. Denote by $A(Y)$ the free abelian group generated by all featuring cycles.

From now on, let Λ be a coefficient ring as in §2.1 with p coprime to 2ℓ. We have natural maps

$$\hat{\Delta}\colon A(Y) \otimes \Lambda \xrightarrow{\beta} B_2(Y,\Lambda) \xrightarrow{(2.2)} B^2(Y,\Lambda) \to \mathrm{Hom}(A(Y),\Lambda), \tag{3.3}$$

where the first arrow β is the geometric cycle class map and the last one is its Λ-dual.

To end this subsection, we prove some results which will be used later.

Proposition 3.17. *If p does not divide $2\#\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{r}},\mathbb{Z})\cdot\ell(\ell^{18}-1)(\ell^6+1)$, then Λ_κ acts trivially on $({}^2\mathrm{E}_{\mathfrak{y}})_2^{p,q}(\frac{q-4}{2})$.*

Put $\mathrm{E} = {}^2\mathrm{E}_{\mathfrak{y}}$ for simplicity. The following is the first page of E.

(3.4)



Lemma 3.18. *Let Z be a smooth proper scheme over κ and $\varphi\colon Z' \to Z$ be the composition of finitely many morphisms that are either blow-ups along smooth centers or projective bundles.*

Then $\varphi^*\colon \mathrm{H}^2(Z_{\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1)) \to \mathrm{H}^2(Z'_{\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1))$ *is injective with image a direct summand as a* $\Lambda[\Gamma_\kappa]$*-submodule. Moreover, the action of* Γ_κ *on* $\operatorname{coker}\varphi^*$ *is trivial.*

Proof. This follows from [SGA5, VII, 2.2.6 & 8.5]. □

Proof of Proposition 3.17. By Poincaré duality and the fact that $Y^{(2)}$ is the disjoint of $\mathbb{P}^1_\kappa$, the only terms for which the conclusion is nontrivial are $\mathrm{E}_2^{0,2}(-1)$ and $\mathrm{E}_2^{1,2}(-1)$. From (3.4), it suffices to show that both the kernel and the cokernel of the map

$$\delta_0^*\colon \mathrm{H}^2(Y^{(0)}_{\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1)) \to \mathrm{H}^2(Y^{(1)}_{\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1))$$

are acted trivially by Γ_κ.

Now for each $i \in \Phi$, put $Z_{(ii+1)} = Y_{(i)} \cap Y_{(i+1)}$. Then we have the map

$$e_i\colon Z_{(ii+1)} \to Y_{(i)} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{(i)}\circ\pi} Z_{(i)}$$

which is an isomorphism, and the map

$$f_i\colon Z_{(ii+1)} \to Y_{(i+1)} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{(i+1)}\circ\pi} Z_{(i+1)}$$

which is a relative Frobenius map (of degree ℓ), as depicted in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccc}
Z_{(12)} & & Z_{(23)} & & Z_{(34)} & & Z_{(45)} & & Z_{(50)} & & Z_{(01)} & & \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle e_1} & \searrow^{f_1} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle e_2} & \searrow^{f_2} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle e_3} & \searrow^{f_3} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle e_4} & \searrow^{f_4} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle e_5} & \searrow^{f_5} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle e_0} & \searrow^{f_0} & \\
Z_{(1)} & & Z_{(2)} & & Z_{(3)} & & Z_{(4)} & & Z_{(5)} & & Z_{(0)} & & Z_{(1)}.
\end{array}$$

By Lemma 3.18 and the above construction, we only need to show that both the kernel and the cokernel of the endomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}\colon \bigoplus_{i\in\Phi} \mathrm{H}^2(Z_{(i),\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1)) \to \bigoplus_{i\in\Phi} \mathrm{H}^2(Z_{(i),\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1))$$

are acted trivially by Γ_κ. Here, the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathrm{H}^2(Z_{(i+1),\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1))$ is the direct sum of the identity map (with the target $\mathrm{H}^2(Z_{(i+1),\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1))$), $-(e_i^*)^{-1}f_i^*$ (with the target $\mathrm{H}^2(Z_{(i),\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1))$), and the zero map to other components. As the geometric Frobenius element of Γ_κ acts as $\mathcal{F}^6$ on $\bigoplus_{i\in\Phi} \mathrm{H}^2(Z_{(i),\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1))$, the claim follows. □

Proposition 3.17 has the following corollary.

Corollary 3.19. *If* p *does not divide* $2\#\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{Z}_\mathfrak{r}, \mathbb{Z}) \cdot \ell(\ell^{18}-1)(\ell^6+1)$*, then* Λ *is a nice coefficient for* ${}^2\mathrm{E}_\mathcal{Y}$ *(Definition 2.5).*

Lemma 3.20. *We have a canonical isomorphism between* $({}^2\mathrm{E}_\mathcal{Y})_2^{-3,6}$ *and* $\Gamma(S_1, \Lambda)^{00}$ (3.1).

Proof. For $i \in \Phi$, put $W_{(ii+1)} = Y_{(i)} \cap Y_{(i+1)} \cap Y_{(+)} \cap Y_{(-)}$, $V^{\pm}_{(ii+1)} = Y_{(i)} \cap Y_{(i+1)} \cap Y_{(\pm)}$, and $V_{(i)} = Y_{(i)} \cap Y_{(+)} \cap Y_{(-)}$. Then $W_{(ii+1)} = \coprod_{S_1} \operatorname{Spec}\kappa$, $V^{\pm}_{(ii+1)} = \coprod_{S_0^\pm} \mathbb{P}^1_\kappa$, and $V_{(i)} = \coprod_{S_1} \mathbb{P}^1_\kappa$. Moreover,

$$Y^{(3)} = \coprod_{i\in\Phi} W_{(ii+1)}, \quad Y^{(2)} = \left(\coprod_{i\in\Phi} V^+_{(ii+1)}\right) \coprod \left(\coprod_{i\in\Phi} V^-_{(ii+1)}\right) \coprod \left(\coprod_{i\in\Phi} V_{(i)}\right).$$

Thus, we may identify $\mathrm{H}^0(Y_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(3)}, \Lambda(-1))$ with $\Gamma(S_1, \Lambda)^{\oplus 6}$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(Y_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(2)}, \Lambda)$ with $\Gamma(S_1, \Lambda)^{\oplus 6} \oplus \Gamma(S_0^+, \Lambda)^{\oplus 6} \oplus \Gamma(S_0^-, \Lambda)^{\oplus 6}$, under which the differential map $\mathrm{d}_1^{-3,6}$ is given by $L \oplus (\delta_*^+)^{\oplus 6} \oplus (\delta_*^-)^{\oplus 6}$ where

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & & & & & \\ & 1 & -1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & -1 & & & \\ & & & 1 & -1 & & \\ & & & & 1 & -1 & \\ -1 & & & & & 1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is an elementary fact that L has rank 5, and $\ker L$ is the diagonal submodule $\Gamma(S_1, \Lambda) \subset \Gamma(S_1, \Lambda)^{\oplus 6}$. Therefore, the lemma follows. □

3.3. **Computing potential map: before quotient.** Other than the cycles $C_{g'}^i$ in Construction 3.16, we introduce more cycles on $Z_{(i)}$. For $i \in \Phi^{\pm}$, the image $\varphi_{(i)}(X_{(\mp)} \cap X_{(i)})$ is a smooth curve in $Z_{(i)}$ whose irreducible components are parameterized by $S_0^{\mp}$. For each $g \in S_0^{\mp}$, denote by C_g^i the corresponding element in $\mathrm{CH}^1(Z_{(i)})$. Both $C_{g'}^i$ and C_g^i have been considered in the work [TX13]. For $i \in \Phi$, we regard the intersection $Y_{(i)} \cap Y_{(i\pm 1)}$ as a smooth hypersurface in $Y_{(i)}$, denoted by $Z_{\pm}^i$.

The following lemma computes certain self-intersection numbers on the surface $Z_{(i)}$.

Lemma 3.21. *For $i \in \Phi^{\pm}$, we have $C_g^i \cdot C_g^i = -2\ell$ for $g \in S_0^{\mp}$ and $C_{g'}^i \cdot C_{g'}^i = -2\ell^2$ for $g' \in S_0^{\pm}$.*

Proof. This is a very special case of [TX14, Proposition 2.31]. □

In what follows, we will use [AG5, Lemma 2.2.14] frequently.

Lemma 3.22. *For $i \in \Phi^{\pm}$ and $g \in S_0^{\mp}$, we have*

$$\hat{\Delta} F_g^i \begin{cases} (F_g^i) = -2\ell(\ell+1), & \\ (F_{g'}^i) = \ell + 1, & \forall g' \in \mathrm{T}(g), \\ (E_{g'}^i) = 1, & \forall g' \in \mathrm{T}(g), \\ (F_{g'}^{i-1}) = -1, & \forall g' \in \mathrm{T}(g), \\ (F_g^{i-1}) = 2\ell^2, & \\ (E_g^{i-1}) = 2\ell^2, & \\ (F_{g'}^{i+1}) = -1, & \forall g' \in \mathrm{T}(g), \\ (F_g^{i+1}) = 2\ell^2, & \\ (E_g^{i+1}) = 2\ell; & \end{cases}$$

and on other featuring cycles, the function $\hat{\Delta} F_g^i$ takes value zero. Here, $\hat{\Delta}$ is the map (3.3), and $\mathrm{T}(g)$ is defined in Notation 3.13.

Proof. Without lost of generality, we assume that $i = 1 \in \Phi^+$. Thus, the index g (resp. g') belongs to S_0^- (resp. S_0^+).

Step 1. We prove the first three equalities. For any featuring cycle C whose location is $Y_{(1)}$, we have

$$\hat{\Delta}F_g^1(C) = F_g^1 \cdot \left(\sum_{S_0^+} E_{g'}^1 + F_g^1 + Z_-^1 + Z_+^1 \right) \cdot C.$$

For $g' \in \mathrm{T}(g)$, we have

$$F_g^1 \cdot E_{g'}^1 \cdot F_g^1 = F_g^1 \cdot E_{g'}^1 \cdot F_{g'}^1 = F_g^1 \cdot F_g^1 \cdot E_{g'}^1 = 0.$$

By Projection Formula, we have for $g' \in \mathrm{T}(g)$,

$$F_g^1 \cdot F_g^1 \cdot F_g^1 = \pi_* F_g^1 \cdot \pi_* F_g^1 \cdot \pi_* F_g^1 = 0,$$
$$F_g^1 \cdot F_g^1 \cdot F_{g'}^1 = \pi_* F_g^1 \cdot \pi_* F_g^1 \cdot \pi_* F_{g'}^1 = 0.$$

For $g' \in \mathrm{T}(g)$, we have

$$F_g^1 \cdot E_{g'}^1 \cdot E_{g'}^1 = f_{g'}^1 \cdot E_{g'}^1 = -1,$$

where $e_{g'}^1$ is the fiber class of the ruled surface $E_{g'}^1$.

Let f_g^1 be the fiber class of the ruled surface $\pi_* F_g^1$. Then we have

$$F_g^1 \cdot Z_\pm^1 \cdot F_g^1 = (C_g^1 \cdot C_g^1)(f_g^1 \cdot \pi_* Z_\pm^1) = -2\ell(f_g^1 \cdot \pi_* Z_\pm^1)$$

by Lemma 3.21, and for $g' \in \mathrm{T}(g)$,

$$F_g^1 \cdot Z_\pm^1 \cdot F_{g'}^1 = f_g^1 \cdot \pi_* Z_\pm^1 = f_g^1 \cdot \pi_* Z_\pm^1.$$

However, we have $f_g^1 \cdot \pi_* Z_+^1 = 1$ and $f_g^1 \cdot \pi_* Z_-^1 = \ell$, by the coordinates in Notation 3.13. The first three equalities in the lemma follow from all above computation.

Step 2. We prove the next three equalities. For any featuring cycle C whose location is $Y_{(0)}$, we have the following formula

$$\hat{\Delta}F_g^1(C) = -(F_g^1 \cdot Z_-^1) \cdot C,$$

in which we regard $F_g^1 \cdot Z_-^1$ as an element in $\mathrm{CH}^1(Z_-^1) = \mathrm{CH}^1(Y_{(1)} \cap Y_{(0)})$ and hence in $\mathrm{CH}^2(Y_{(0)})$ via the Gysin pushforward map. It is not hard to see that for $g' \in \mathrm{T}(g)$, we have

$$(F_g^1 \cdot Z_-^1) \cdot F_{g'}^0 = 1,$$
$$(F_g^1 \cdot Z_-^1) \cdot F_g^0 = C_g^0 \cdot C_g^0 = -2\ell^2$$

by Lemma 3.21.

To simplify the computation of $\hat{\Delta}F_g^1(E_g^0)$, we use the following trick. Note that the image of $\hat{\Delta}$ takes value zero on the subgroup of $A(Y)$ that is in the image of the Gysin pushforward map $\delta_{0*}\colon \mathrm{CH}^2(Y^{(1)}) \to \mathrm{CH}^1(Y^{(0)})$. Thus, we may regard $E_g^0 \subset Y_{(0)} \cap Y_{(-)}$ as an element in $\mathrm{CH}^1(Y_{(-)})$. Then we have

$$\hat{\Delta}F_g^1(E_g^0) = -(F_g^1 \cdot F_g^1) \cdot E_g^0 = -(C_g^1 \cdot C_g^1)\ell = 2\ell^2$$

by Lemma 3.21 and the coordinates in Notation 3.13. The next three equalities in the lemma follow from all above computation.

Step 3. The proof of the last three equalities is similar to Step 2 and we leave it to readers.

Step 4. Finally, we need to show that if C is a featuring cycle that does not appearing in the equalities of the lemma, then $\hat{\Delta}F_g^1(C) = 0$. This is trivial except for $C = H_h$ with

$h \in (\delta^-)^{-1}(g)$. For such an element h, put $g' = \delta_+(h) \in \mathrm{T}(g)$. Then both $F_g^1 \cdot E_{g'}^1$ and $E_{g'}^1 \cdot H_h$ are the fiber class in the ruled surface $E_{g'}^1$. Thus, we have $\hat{\Delta} F_g^1(H_h) = 0$. The lemma is finally proved. □

Lemma 3.23. *For $i \in \Phi^\pm$ and $g' \in S_0^\pm$, we have*

$$\hat{\Delta} F_{g'}^i \begin{cases} (F_{g'}^i) = -2\ell^2(\ell+1), & \\ (F_g^i) = \ell + 1, & \forall g \in \mathrm{T}(g'), \\ (E_{g'}^i) = -2\ell^2, & \\ (F_g^{i-1}) = -\ell, & \forall g \in \mathrm{T}(g'), \\ (F_{g'}^{i-1}) = 2\ell^2, & \\ (E_g^{i-1}) = -\ell, & \forall g \in \mathrm{T}(g'), \\ (F_g^{i+1}) = -\ell, & \forall g \in \mathrm{T}(g'), \\ (F_{g'}^{i+1}) = 2\ell^2, & \\ (E_g^{i+1}) = -1, & \forall g \in \mathrm{T}(g'); \end{cases}$$

and on other featuring cycles, the function $\hat{\Delta} F_{g'}^i$ takes value zero.

Proof. The calculation is, although not exactly the same, very close to the one in the previous lemma. We leave details to readers. □

Lemma 3.24. *For $i \in \Phi^\pm$ and $g' \in S_0^\pm$, we have*

$$\hat{\Delta} E_{g'}^i \begin{cases} (F_{g'}^i) = -2\ell^2, & \\ (F_g^i) = 1, & \forall g \in \mathrm{T}(g'), \\ (E_{g'}^i) = -(\ell^3+1), & \\ (F_g^{i-1}) = -1, & \forall g \in \mathrm{T}(g'), \\ (F_{g'}^{i-1}) = 2\ell, & \\ (E_g^{i-1}) = -1, & \forall g \in \mathrm{T}(g'), \\ (F_g^{i+1}) = -\ell, & \forall g \in \mathrm{T}(g'), \\ (F_{g'}^{i+1}) = 2\ell^2, & \\ (E_g^{i+1}) = -1, & \forall g \in \mathrm{T}(g'), \\ (H_h) = 1, & \forall h \in (\delta^\pm)^{-1}(g'). \end{cases}$$

On other featuring cycles, the function $\hat{\Delta} E_{g'}^i$ takes value zero.

Proof. We only need to prove the third, sixth, ninth and last equalities since others have been proved in Lemmas 3.22 and 3.23 by symmetry. The last claim of the lemma is obvious. Again, without lost of generality, we assume that $i = 1$.

Step 1. We start from the most complicated one: $\Delta E_{g'}^1(E_{g'}^1)$. We use the trick in Step 2 in the proof of Lemma 3.22 by regarding $E_{g'}^1$ as a hypersurface on $Y_{(+)}$. We regard $F_{g'}^i \subset Y_{(i)} \cap Y_{(-)}$ for $i \in \Phi^-$ as hypersurfaces on $Y_{(+)}$ as well. Thus, we have

$$\Delta E_{g'}^1(E_{g'}^1) = E_{g'}^1 \cdot \left(\sum_{(\delta^+)^{-1}(g')} H_h + F_{g'}^0 + F_{g'}^2 + E_{g'}^1 \right) \cdot E_{g'}^1.$$

For every h in the above summation set, we have

$$E^1_{g'} \cdot H_h \cdot E^1_{g'} = e^1_{g'} \cdot E^1_{g'} = -1,$$

where $e^1_{g'}$ is the fiber class of the ruled surface $E^1_{g'}$ as before. Since $(\delta^+)^{-1}(g')$ has cardinality ℓ^3+1, we only need to show that $(F^0_{g'} + F^2_{g'} + E^1_{g'}) \cdot (E^1_{g'})^2 = 0$. To ease notation, we put $F^i = F^i_{g'}$, $F_i = \pi_* F^i$ and $E = E^1_{g'}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(F^0_{g'} + F^2_{g'} + E^1_{g'}) \cdot (E^1_{g'})^2 &= (E + F^0 + F^2) \cdot (E + F^0 - F^0) \cdot E \\ &= (\pi^* F_6 + F^2) \cdot (\pi^* F_6 - F^0) \cdot E \\ &= \pi^* F_6 \cdot \pi^* F_6 \cdot E - \pi^* F_6 \cdot F^0 \cdot E + F^2 \cdot \pi^* F_6 \cdot E - F^2 \cdot F^0 \cdot E \\ &= -\pi^* F_6 \cdot F^0 \cdot E + F^2 \cdot \pi^* F_6 \cdot E \\ &= -F_6 \cdot \pi(E) + F_6 \cdot \pi(E) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. We compute $\Delta E^1_{g'}(E^0_g)$ and $\Delta E^1_{g'}(E^2_g)$. By symmetry, we only consider the first one. We again use the trick in Step 2 in the proof of Lemma 3.22 by regarding $E^1_{g'}$ (resp. E^0_g) as a hypersurface on $Y_{(+)}$ (resp. $Y_{(-)}$). Then the equality is obvious.

Step 3. We show the last equality. We adopt the notation in Step 1. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Delta} E^i_{g'}(H_h) &= E^1_{g'} \cdot (F^0_{g'} + F^2_{g'} + E^1_{g'}) \cdot H_h \\ &= \frac{1}{2} E \cdot (F^0 + F^2) \cdot H_h + \frac{1}{2} E \cdot (\pi^* F_6 + \pi^* F_2) \cdot H_h \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(1+1) + 0 = 1.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.25. *For $h \in S_1$, we have*

$$\hat{\Delta} H_h \begin{cases} (E^0_{\delta^-(h)}) = 1, \\ (E^1_{\delta^+(h)}) = 1, \\ (E^2_{\delta^-(h)}) = 1, \\ (E^3_{\delta^+(h)}) = 1, \\ (E^4_{\delta^-(h)}) = 1, \\ (E^5_{\delta^+(h)}) = 1, \\ (H_h) = -2; \end{cases}$$

and on other featuring cycles, the function $\hat{\Delta} H_h$ takes value zero.

Proof. Except the last one, all equalities follow from Lemma 3.24 by symmetry. Put $g' = \delta_+(h)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Delta} H_h(H_h) &= H_h \cdot (H_h + E^1_{g'} + E^3_{g'} + E^5_{g'} + F^0_{g'} + F^2_{g'} + F^4_{g'}) \cdot H_h \\ &= H_h^3 + H_h \cdot (F^0_{g'} + F^2_{g'} + F^4_{g'}) \cdot H_h = -2.\end{aligned}$$

□

Notation 3.26. The free Λ-module $A(Y) \otimes \Lambda$ (resp. $\mathrm{Hom}(A(Y), \Lambda)$) can be identified with

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}), \Lambda)^{\oplus 18} \oplus \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\ell\mathfrak{r}), \Lambda).$$

Here, the first 18 copies of $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}),\Lambda)$ correspond to functions, from the first to the last, given by coefficients (resp. values) of featuring cycles: F^0_g $(g\in S_0^+)$, $F^0_{g'}$ $(g'\in S_0^-)$, F^1_g $(g\in S_0^-)$, $F^1_{g'}$ $(g'\in S_0^+)$, F^2_g $(g\in S_0^+)$, $F^2_{g'}$ $(g'\in S_0^-)$, F^3_g $(g\in S_0^-)$, $F^3_{g'}$ $(g'\in S_0^+)$, F^4_g $(g\in S_0^+)$, $F^4_{g'}$ $(g'\in S_0^-)$, F^5_g $(g\in S_0^-)$, $F^5_{g'}$ $(g'\in S_0^+)$; $E^0_{g'}$ $(g'\in S_0^-)$, $E^1_{g'}$ $(g'\in S_0^+)$, $E^2_{g'}$ $(g'\in S_0^-)$, $E^3_{g'}$ $(g'\in S_0^+)$, $E^4_{g'}$ $(g'\in S_0^-)$, $E^5_{g'}$ $(g'\in S_0^+)$. The last component $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\ell\mathfrak{r}),\Lambda)$ encodes the function given by coefficients (resp. values) of featuring cycles H_h $(h\in S_1)$.

Recall that at the function level, we have maps

$$\delta^{\pm}_*\colon \Gamma(S_1,\Lambda)\to\Gamma(S_0^{\pm},\Lambda),\quad \delta^{\pm *}\colon \Gamma(S_0^{\pm},\Lambda)\to\Gamma(S_1,\Lambda).$$

By abuse of notation, we put

$$\mathrm{T}=\delta^{\mp}_*\circ\delta^{\pm *}\colon \Gamma(S_0^{\pm},\Lambda)\to\Gamma(S_0^{\mp},\Lambda).$$

Combining Lemmas 3.22, 3.23, 3.24 and 3.25, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.27. *Under Notation 3.26, the operator $\hat{\Delta}$ is given by the following 19-by-19 matrix:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & {}^{\mathrm{t}}B & 0\\ B & C & D^{\star}\\ 0 & D & -2\end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & & & & A_2\\ A_2 & A_1 & A_2 & & & \\ & A_2 & A_1 & A_2 & & \\ & & A_2 & A_1 & A_2 & \\ & & & A_2 & A_1 & A_2\\ A_2 & & & & A_2 & A_1\end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$A_1=\begin{pmatrix} -2\ell(\ell+1) & (\ell+1)\mathrm{T}\\ (\ell+1)\mathrm{T} & -2\ell^2(\ell+1)\end{pmatrix},\quad A_2=\begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{T} & 2\ell^2\\ 2\ell^2 & -\ell\mathrm{T}\end{pmatrix};$$

and

$$B=\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{T} & -2\ell^2 & 2\ell^2 & -\ell\mathrm{T} & & & & & & 2\ell & -\mathrm{T}\\ 2\ell & -\mathrm{T} & \mathrm{T} & -2\ell^2 & 2\ell^2 & -\ell\mathrm{T} & & & & & \\ & & 2\ell & -\mathrm{T} & \mathrm{T} & -2\ell^2 & 2\ell^2 & -\ell\mathrm{T} & & & \\ & & & & 2\ell & -\mathrm{T} & \mathrm{T} & -2\ell^2 & 2\ell^2 & -\ell\mathrm{T} & \\ & & & & & & 2\ell & -\mathrm{T} & \mathrm{T} & -2\ell^2 & 2\ell^2 & -\ell\mathrm{T}\\ 2\ell^2 & -\ell\mathrm{T} & & & & & & & 2\ell & -\mathrm{T} & \mathrm{T} & -2\ell^2\end{pmatrix},$$

$$C=\begin{pmatrix} -(\ell^3+1) & -\mathrm{T} & & & & & & & & -\mathrm{T}\\ -\mathrm{T} & -(\ell^3+1) & -\mathrm{T} & & & & & & & \\ & -\mathrm{T} & -(\ell^3+1) & -\mathrm{T} & & & & & & \\ & & -\mathrm{T} & -(\ell^3+1) & -\mathrm{T} & & & & & \\ & & & -\mathrm{T} & -(\ell^3+1) & -\mathrm{T} & & & & \\ & & & & -\mathrm{T} & -(\ell^3+1) & -\mathrm{T} & & & \\ -\mathrm{T} & & & & & & -\mathrm{T} & -(\ell^3+1) & & \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D=\begin{pmatrix} \delta^{+*} & \delta^{-*} & \delta^{+*} & \delta^{-*} & \delta^{+*} & \delta^{-*}\end{pmatrix},\quad D^{\star}={}^{\mathrm{t}}\begin{pmatrix} \delta^{+}_* & \delta^{-}_* & \delta^{+}_* & \delta^{-}_* & \delta^{+}_* & \delta^{-}_*\end{pmatrix}.$$

For later use, we put

$$C' = C + \frac{1}{2}D^\star D = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\ell^3+1}{2} & -\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2} & & & & -\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2} \\ -\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2} & -\frac{\ell^3+1}{2} & -\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2} & & & \\ & -\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2} & -\frac{\ell^3+1}{2} & -\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2} & & \\ & & -\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2} & -\frac{\ell^3+1}{2} & -\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2} & \\ & & & -\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2} & -\frac{\ell^3+1}{2} & -\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2} \\ -\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2} & & & & -\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2} & -\frac{\ell^3+1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.5}$$

3.4. **Computing potential map: after quotient.** In this subsection, let (p^ν, ℓ) be a cubic-level raising pair (Definition 3.8). We start from the following lemma, which confirms Theorem 3.11 (1).

Lemma 3.28. *Let (p^ν, ℓ) be a cubic-level raising pair. Then $\mathrm{H}^j({}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}} = 0$ for $j \neq 3$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $M_j := \mathrm{H}^j({}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p} = 0$ for $j > 3$. By Chebotarev Density Theorem, the congruence relation in [Wed00], and [Dim05, Lemma 6.5], the semisimplification of the representation M_j is isomorphic to the direct sum of finitely many copies of ${}^\sharp\bar{\mathrm{N}}_{\Pi,p}(-1)$ after restriction to $\Gamma_{\widetilde{F}}$. In particular, the invariant subspace $M_j^{\Gamma_K}$ is nontrivial if $M_j \neq 0$. However, from the weight spectral sequence ${}^0\mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ (3.4) (with coefficient $\mathbb{F}_p$), we know that $M_j^{\Gamma_K} = 0$ for $j > 3$. Thus $M_j = 0$. □

To adopt the discussion in §2.3, we take $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu$. let $\mathcal{T}$ be the (commutative) monoidal subcategory of spherical Hecke operators away from $\ell\mathfrak{r}_0\mathfrak{r}$. Then $\Lambda[\mathcal{T}] = \mathbb{T}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}} \otimes \Lambda$. Put $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p} \otimes \Lambda$, which is an ideal of $\Lambda[\mathcal{T}]$. Recall that we have the localized weight spectral sequence $\mathrm{E} := {}^2\mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{Y},\mathfrak{m}}$.

Lemma 3.29. *Let assumptions and notation be as above. Then*

1. *Λ is a very nice coefficient for E (Definition 2.14);*
2. *the localized map $\beta_{\mathfrak{m}} \colon (A(Y) \otimes \Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}} \to B_2(Y, \Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is injective, and induces an isomorphism onto the image $(B_2(Y, \Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}})^{\Gamma_\kappa} = A_2^{\mathfrak{m}}(Y, \Lambda)$, which equals $A_2^{\mathfrak{m}}(Y, \Lambda)_0$ by Lemma 3.28;*
3. *the localized dual map $B^2(Y, \Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}} \to \mathrm{Hom}(A(Y), \Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ restricts to an isomorphism $A^2_{\mathfrak{m}}(Y, \Lambda)^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(A(Y), \Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}$;*
4. *the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A(Y) \otimes \Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{m}}} & \mathrm{Hom}(A(Y), \Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}} \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \uparrow \simeq \\ A_2^{\mathfrak{m}}(Y, \Lambda)_0 & \xrightarrow{\Delta^2_{\mathfrak{m}}} & A^2_{\mathfrak{m}}(Y, \Lambda)^0, \end{array}$$

where $\hat{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is localization of $\hat{\Delta}$ (3.3) at $\mathfrak{m}$.

Proof. For (1), by Corollary 3.19, we know that Λ is a nice coefficient for E. By Lemma 3.18, it suffices to show that for every subquotient $\Lambda[\Gamma_\kappa]$-module M of $\mathrm{H}^2(Z_{(i)\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ with $i \in \Phi$, the natural map $M^{\Gamma_\kappa} \to M_{\Gamma_\kappa}$ is an isomorphism. Since (p^ν, ℓ) be a cubic-level raising pair, we have an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^2(Z_{(i)\overline{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))_{\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}} \simeq \mathrm{N}^{\otimes 2}_{\Pi,p}(-1)^{\oplus \xi_{\mathfrak{r}}}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_\kappa]$-modules by Definition

3.8 (C3) and [BL84]. In particular, we have

$$\mathrm{H}^2(Z_{(i)\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1))_{\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}} \simeq \Lambda(1)^{\oplus\xi_{\mathfrak{r}}} \oplus \Lambda(-1)^{\oplus\xi_{\mathfrak{r}}} \oplus \Lambda^{\oplus 2\xi_{\mathfrak{r}}}$$

of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_\kappa]$-modules by Definition 3.8 (C5).

For (2), it is easy to see that the composite map

$$\bigoplus_{i\in\Phi} \mathrm{H}^2(Y_{(i)\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1)) \bigoplus \Lambda[\{\beta(H_h) \mid h \in S_1\}] \to \mathrm{H}^2(Y^{(0)}_{\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1)) \to B_2(Y, \Lambda)$$

is surjective. If we denote by $\mathrm{H}^2(Y_{(i)\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1))'$ the submodule of $\mathrm{H}^2(Y_{(i)\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1))$ generated by classes of $Y_{(i)} \cap Y_{(i-1)}$ and $Y_{(i)} \cap Y_{(i+1)}$, then the kernel of the above map is contained in $\bigoplus_{i\in\Phi} \mathrm{H}^2(Y_{(i)\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1))'$. However, since $\mathfrak{m}$ is not an Eisenstein ideal, the localization $\bigoplus_{i\in\Phi} \mathrm{H}^2(Y_{(i)\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1))'_{\mathfrak{m}}$ vanishes. In other words, we have an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i\in\Phi} \mathrm{H}^2(Y_{(i)\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1))_{\mathfrak{m}} \bigoplus \Lambda[\{\beta(H_h) \mid h \in S_1\}]_{\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\sim} B_2(Y, \Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Thus, it remains to show that for $i \in \Phi^{\pm}$, the map

$$\Lambda[\{C^i_g, C^i_{g'} \mid g \in S_0^{\mp}, g' \in S_0^{\pm}\}]_{\mathfrak{m}} \to (\mathrm{H}^2(Z_{(i)\overline{\kappa}}, \Lambda(1))_{\mathfrak{m}})^{\Gamma_\kappa}$$

induced by the geometric cycle class map on $Z_{(i)}$ is an isomorphism. This is a consequence of Definition 3.8 (C3) and Lemma 3.21, via the argument in [TX14].

The proof for (3) is similar to (2); and (4) follows from the constructions. □

The localization of $\hat{\Delta}$ at $\mathfrak{m}$ can be identified with an endomorphism

$$\hat{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{m}} \colon \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}), \Lambda)^{\oplus 18}_{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\ell\mathfrak{r}), \Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}} \to \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}), \Lambda)^{\oplus 18}_{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\ell\mathfrak{r}), \Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}},$$

given by the matrix in Proposition 3.27. We write elements in $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}), \Lambda)^{\oplus 18}_{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\ell\mathfrak{r}), \Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ in the form $(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{e})$, where $\boldsymbol{a}$ encodes the first twelve factors, $\boldsymbol{c}$ encodes the next six factors, and $\boldsymbol{e}$ belongs to $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\ell\mathfrak{r}), \Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Proposition 3.30. *Assume that (p^ν, ℓ) is a cubic-level raising pair. The assignment*

$$(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{e}) \mapsto (c_1 + c_4, c_2 + c_5, c_1 + c_6) \tag{3.6}$$

induces an $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$-equivariant isomorphism

$$\operatorname{coker} \hat{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}), \Lambda)^{\oplus 3}_{\mathfrak{m}},$$

where

- *c_i is the i-th component of $\boldsymbol{c} - BA^{-1}\boldsymbol{a} + \frac{1}{2}D^\star\boldsymbol{e}$ for $i \in \Phi$; and*
- *$\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ acts on $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}), \Lambda)^{\oplus 3}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ through the permutation $(c_1, c_2, c_3) \mapsto (c_2, c_3, c_1)$.*

In particular, $\operatorname{coker} \hat{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a free Λ-module of rank $3\xi_{\mathfrak{r}}$ by Definition 3.8 (C3).

Proof. Note that the operator $\hat{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is given by the matrix in Proposition 3.27, with T acts as $\ell^3 + 1$ by Definition 3.8 (C5). After computation, we find that

- the determinant of the matrix A is equal to $\mathfrak{Q}_0(\ell)$, which is invertible in Λ by Definition 3.8 (C4);

- if we let A^* be the adjoint matrix of A, then

$$BA^{*\,\mathrm{t}}B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}_1(\ell) & \mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & -\mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & -\mathcal{Q}_1(\ell) & -\mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & \mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) \\ \mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & \mathcal{Q}_1(\ell) & \mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & -\mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & -\mathcal{Q}_1(\ell) & -\mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) \\ -\mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & \mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & \mathcal{Q}_1(\ell) & \mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & -\mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & -\mathcal{Q}_1(\ell) \\ -\mathcal{Q}_1(\ell) & -\mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & \mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & \mathcal{Q}_1(\ell) & \mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & -\mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) \\ -\mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & -\mathcal{Q}_1(\ell) & -\mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & \mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & \mathcal{Q}_1(\ell) & \mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) \\ \mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & -\mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & -\mathcal{Q}_1(\ell) & -\mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & \mathcal{Q}_2(\ell) & \mathcal{Q}_1(\ell) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}),\Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\oplus 18} \oplus \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\ell\mathfrak{r}),\Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{m}}} & \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}),\Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\oplus 18} \oplus \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\ell\mathfrak{r}),\Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}),\Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\oplus 6} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}),\Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\oplus 6} \end{array}$$

commutes, in which both vertical arrows are the assignment

$$(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{e}) \mapsto \boldsymbol{c} - BA^{-1}\boldsymbol{a} + \frac{1}{2}D^{\star}\boldsymbol{e},$$

and the bottom arrow is given by the matrix $C' - BA^{*\,\mathrm{t}}B$ where C' is in (3.5). By an elementary matrix manipulation, we know that the assignment (3.6) induces an isomorphism $\operatorname{coker}\hat{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}),\Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\oplus 3}$. To show that it is $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$-equivariant, we only need to apply Proposition A.8. □

Corollary 3.31. *If (p^ν,ℓ) is a cubic-level raising pair, then we have a canonical $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$-equivariant isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K,\mathrm{H}^3({}^{\ell}\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}},\mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p^\nu}) \simeq (\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}),\mathbb{Z}_p)/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p^\nu})^{\oplus 3}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.15, Lemmas 3.28 and 3.29, we have a canonical $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$-equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K,\mathrm{H}^3({}^{\ell}\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}},\Lambda(2))_{\mathfrak{m}}) \simeq \operatorname{coker}\hat{\Delta}_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

By Lemma 3.29 and a similar argument in the proof of Theorem 2.7, the natural map

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K,\mathrm{H}^3({}^{\ell}\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}},\Lambda(2))_{\mathfrak{m}})/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p^\nu} \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K,\mathrm{H}^3({}^{\ell}\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}},\mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p^\nu})$$

is an isomorphism. Then the corollary follows from Proposition 3.30. □

Proof of Theorem 3.11. Note that (1) follows from Lemma 3.28. Part (3) follows from Proposition 3.30 and Corollary 3.31. Recall that we have put $M^\nu = \mathrm{H}^3({}^{\ell}\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}},\mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p^\nu}$. For simplicity, we put $N^\nu = {}^{\sharp}\bar{\mathrm{N}}^{\nu}_{\Pi,p}(-1)$. They are both representations of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We also fix an embedding $\widetilde{F} \hookrightarrow K = \mathbb{Q}_{\ell^6}$. The proof of (2) will be divided to several steps.

Step 1: the case $\xi_{\mathfrak{r}} = 1$ and $\nu = 1$. By a similar argument in the proof of Lemma 3.28 (note that the above fact is sufficient to apply [Dim05, Lemma 6.5]), $M^{1\mathrm{ss}}$, the semisimplification of the $\Gamma_{\widetilde{F}}$-representation M^1, is isomorphic to the direct sum of n copies of $N^1|_{\Gamma_{\widetilde{F}}}$. Our goal is to show that $n = 1$. Assuming M^1 semisimple, then this follows from Corollary 3.31. However, we do not see a straightforward way to prove the semisimplicity. Instead, we observe that

$$n = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} M^1(1)_{\Gamma_K} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathrm{E}_2^{-3,6}/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}$$

by Proposition 3.17. By Lemma 3.20, it suffices to show that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}\Gamma(S^1,\mathbb{F}_p)^{00}/\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,p}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}=1$. This will be proved in Lemma 3.32 below.

So far, we have $M^1|_{\Gamma_{\widetilde{F}}}\simeq N^1|_{\Gamma_{\widetilde{F}}}$. It remains to show that such isomorphism extends to Γ_{F_0}. As N^1 is absolutely irreducible, the two $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$-representations M^1 and N^1 are differed by a character $\chi\colon \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{F}/\mathbb{Q})\to\mathbb{F}_p^\times$. It suffices to show that $\chi|_{\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)}=1$. For this, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ acts trivially on the $\mathbb{F}_p$-line $\mathrm{E}_2^{-3,6}/\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,p}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}$. This follows from Lemma 3.20 and Proposition A.8.

Step 2: the case $\xi_{\mathfrak{r}}=1$. From Step 1, we know that the Λ-module M^ν has length at most 8ν. On the other hand, the exponent of M^ν is p^ν by Corollary 3.31. We claim that M^ν is a free Λ-module of rank 8. This is equivalent to $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}M^\nu/\ker p^{\nu-1}=8$. However, by a similar argument in Step 1, the $\Gamma_{\widetilde{F}}$-representation $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}M^\nu/\ker p^{\nu-1}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of copies of $N^1|_{\Gamma_{\widetilde{F}}}$, which implies $8\mid\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}M^\nu/\ker p^{\nu-1}$. Since $0<\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}M^\nu/\ker p^{\nu-1}\le 8$, we are done. Finally, by a result of Mazur and Carayol (see [Kis09, Theorem 1.4.1]), the representation $M^\nu|_{\Gamma_{F_0}}$ is (isomorphic to) the unique deformation of $N^1|_{\Gamma_{F_0}}$ to Λ which is $N^\nu|_{\Gamma_{F_0}}$.

Step 3: the general case. For each divisor $\mathfrak{d}$ of $\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}$, we define the stack ${}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{(\mathfrak{d})}$ by the following 2-Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{(\mathfrak{d})} & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & {}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r}} \\ {\scriptstyle\delta'_{\mathfrak{d}}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\delta_{\mathfrak{d}}} \\ {}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r}} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & {}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r}_\Pi}. \end{array}$$

Since $\delta_{\mathfrak{d}}$ is étale, the stack ${}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{(\mathfrak{d})}$ is a scheme, and both δ' and $\delta'_{\mathfrak{d}}$ are étale. Moreover, the scheme $\mathcal{X}_{(\mathfrak{d})}:={}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{(\mathfrak{d})}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}}\mathbb{Z}_{\ell^6}$ is poly-nodal. Similarly to Construction 3.14, we obtain a strictly semistable resolution $\mathcal{Y}_{(\mathfrak{d})}$ of $\mathcal{X}_{(\mathfrak{d})}$. In other words, we obtain an étale correspondence

$$T_{(\mathfrak{d})}\colon \mathcal{Y}\xleftarrow{\delta'}\mathcal{Y}_{(\mathfrak{d})}\xrightarrow{\delta'_{\mathfrak{d}}}\mathcal{Y},$$

which commutes with elements in $\mathcal{T}$. Thus, we have the induced map $T^*_{(\mathfrak{d})}\colon M^\nu\to M^\nu$. By construction 2.12, the map $T^*_{(\mathfrak{d})}$ induces the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K,M^\nu) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}),\mathbb{Z}_p)/\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,p^\nu}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}})^{\oplus 3} \\ {\scriptstyle T^*_{(\mathfrak{d})}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle T^*_{(\mathfrak{d})}} \\ \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K,M^\nu) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}),\mathbb{Z}_p)/\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,p^\nu}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}})^{\oplus 3}, \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are the isomorphism in Corollary 3.31. Since p is, in particular, an ℓ-isolated prime for $(\Pi,\mathfrak{r})$, we have

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}),\mathbb{Z}_p)/\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,p^\nu}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}=\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{d}\mid\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}}T^*_{(\mathfrak{d})}\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}),\mathbb{Z}_p)/\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,p^\nu}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}.$$

Similarly to Step 1, we can show that $(M^\nu\otimes_\Lambda\mathbb{F}_p)^{\mathrm{ss}}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\xi_{\mathfrak{r}}$ copies of N^1. By Nakayama's lemma and the length argument as in Step 2, we have that

$$M^\nu=\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{d}\mid\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}}M^\nu_{\mathfrak{d}},\quad M^\nu_{\mathfrak{d}}:=T^*_{(\mathfrak{d})}M$$

as a decomposition of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$-representations. It remains to show that each individual representation $M_{\mathfrak{d}}^{\nu}$ is isomorphic to N^{ν} after restriction to Γ_{F_0}. This can be done in the same way as in Step 2. □

Lemma 3.32. *Let (p,ℓ) be a cubic-level raising pair. We have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}\Gamma(S^1,\mathbb{F}_p)^{00}/\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,p}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}=\xi_{\mathfrak{r}},$$

where $\Gamma(S^1,\mathbb{F}_p)^{00}$ is defined as (3.1).

Proof. we use cohomology of certain Shimura curve with bad reduction. Let $\mathbb{B}$ be the incoherent totally definite quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{A}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}F$ such that $\mathbb{B}_v\simeq B_v$ for $v\neq\ell$ and $\mathbb{B}_\ell$ is division. Denote by C the quaternionic Shimura curve associated to $\mathbb{B}$ with $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{r})$-level structure, which is a smooth proper curve over F. There is a canonical model $\mathcal{C}$ of $C\otimes_F F_\ell$ over $O_{F_\ell}\simeq\mathbb{Z}_{\ell^3}$, which is strictly semistable of relative dimension 1. Moreover, there exists a finite unramified extension K of F_ℓ, with the residue field κ, such that $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$ may be written as the union $\mathcal{C}_\kappa^+\bigcup\mathcal{C}_\kappa^-$ satisfying

- $\mathcal{C}_\kappa^{\pm}$ is a disjoint union of $\mathbb{P}^1_\kappa$ indexed by the set $S_0^{\pm}$, and $\mathcal{C}_\kappa^{(0)}=\mathcal{C}_\kappa^+\coprod\mathcal{C}_\kappa^-$;
- $\mathcal{C}_\kappa^{(1)}$ coincides with $\mathcal{C}_\kappa^+\times_{\mathcal{C}_\kappa}\mathcal{C}_\kappa^-$, which is a disjoint union of $\operatorname{Spec}\kappa$ indexed by the set S_1;
- the natural map $\mathcal{C}_\kappa^{(1)}\to\mathcal{C}_\kappa^{\pm}$ induces the projection map $\delta^{\pm}\colon S_1\to S_0^{\pm}$ on the underlying indexing sets.

We have the following baby case of the weight spectral sequence $\mathrm{E}={}^1\mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{C}_{O_K}}$ converging to $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(C_{\overline{K}},\mathbb{F}_p(1))$, whose first page is

$$\begin{array}{lcccc}
\mathrm{E}_1^{p,q} & q=0 & q=1 & q=2\\
p=-1 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(1)},\mathbb{F}_p)\\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{d}_1^{-1,2}}\\
p=0 & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(0)},\mathbb{F}_p(1)) & \overset{\mu^{0,1}}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathrm{H}^2(\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(0)},\mathbb{F}_p(1))\\
 & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{d}_1^{0,0}} & & \downarrow\\
p=1 & \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\kappa}}^{(1)},\mathbb{F}_p(1)) & 0 & 0.
\end{array}$$

By a similar argument for $\mathrm{H}^3({}^{\ell}\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}},\mathbb{Z}_p(2))$, we have that $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(K,\mathrm{H}^1(C_{\overline{F}},\mathbb{F}_p(1))/\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,p}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}})$ is canonically isomorphic to the cokernel of

$$(\delta_*^+,-\delta_*^-)\circ(\delta^{+*}\oplus-\delta^{-*})\colon\bigoplus_{\epsilon=+,-}\Gamma(S_0^{\epsilon},\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,p}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}\to\bigoplus_{\epsilon=+,-}\Gamma(S_0^{\epsilon},\mathbb{F}_p)/\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,p}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}.$$

Its $\mathbb{F}_p$-dimension is $\xi_{\mathfrak{r}}$ by Definition 3.8 (C3, C4, C5). However, by the Eichler–Shimura congruence relation (for C), Definition 3.8 (C1), and [BLR91, Theorem 1], we know that the Γ_F-representation $\mathrm{H}^1(C_{\overline{F}},\mathbb{F}_p(1))/\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,p}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}$ (not just its semisimplification!) is isomorphic to a direct sum of n copies of $\bar{\mathrm{N}}_{\Pi,p}$. Thus, n must be equal to $\xi_{\mathfrak{r}}$. On the other hand,

$$n=\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}\ker\mathrm{d}_1^{-1,2}/\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,p}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}=\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}\Gamma(S^1,\mathbb{F}_p)^{00}/\mathfrak{m}_{\Pi,p}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}.$$

The lemma follows. □

3.5. **The reciprocity law.** In this subsection, we keep assuming that (p^ν, ℓ) is a cubic-level raising pair.

Suppose that there is quaternion algebra $\mathrm{B}^\flat$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with discriminant $\mathfrak{r}_0^\flat$ such that

- $\mathrm{B}^\flat \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F \simeq \mathrm{B}$;
- if we put $\mathfrak{r}^\flat$ to be $(\mathfrak{r}_0^\flat)^{-1}(\mathfrak{r}_0^\flat \cap \mathfrak{r})$, then $\mathfrak{r}_0^\flat$ is coprime to $\mathfrak{r}^\flat$.

Put $\mathcal{S}^\flat(\mathfrak{r}^\flat) = \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{B}^\flat, \mathfrak{r}^\flat)$. Let ${}^\ell\mathrm{B}^\flat$ be the indefinite quaternionic algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that ${}^\ell\mathrm{B}^\flat \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\ell \simeq \mathrm{B}^\flat \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\ell$, which exists uniquely up to isomorphism. In particular, we have ${}^\ell\mathrm{B}^\flat \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F \simeq {}^\ell\mathrm{B}$. Then we have natural maps

$$\psi\colon \mathcal{S}^\flat(\mathfrak{r}^\flat) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}), \quad \psi^\ell\colon \mathcal{S}^\flat(\ell\mathfrak{r}^\flat) \to \mathcal{S}(\ell\mathfrak{r}).$$

We have the modular scheme (curve) ${}^\ell\mathcal{X}^\flat_{\mathfrak{r}^\flat} := \mathcal{X}_{\ell_{\mathrm{B}^\flat,\mathfrak{r}^\flat}}$ over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$, together with a canonical morphism

$$\theta\colon {}^\ell\mathcal{X}^\flat_{\mathfrak{r}^\flat} \to {}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r}},$$

which is finite. The base change $\theta_{\mathbb{Q}} := \theta \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}} \mathbb{Q}$ induces the Gysin map

$$\theta_{\mathbb{Q}*}\colon \mathrm{CH}^0({}^\ell\mathcal{X}^\flat_{\mathfrak{r}^\flat,\mathbb{Q}}) \to \mathrm{CH}^2({}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\mathbb{Q}}).$$

We call the (co)cycle $\theta_{\mathbb{Q}*}[{}^\ell\mathcal{X}^\flat_{\mathfrak{r}^\flat,\mathbb{Q}}] \in \mathrm{CH}^2({}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\mathbb{Q}})$ the *cubic Hirzebruch–Zagier cycle*.

Construction 3.33 (cubic Hirzebruch–Zagier class)**.** Consider the cohomology class

$$\mathrm{cl}(\theta_{\mathbb{Q}*}[{}^\ell\mathcal{X}^\flat_{\mathfrak{r}^\flat,\mathbb{Q}}]) \in \mathrm{H}^4({}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))$$

where cl is the cycle class map (2.10) (with K replaced by $\mathbb{Q}$). By Theorem 3.11 (1), there exists an element $T \in \mathbb{T}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}$ such that $\phi^{\mathfrak{r}}_\Pi(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $T\mathrm{cl}(\theta_{\mathbb{Q}*}[{}^\ell\mathcal{X}^\flat_{\mathfrak{r}^\flat,\mathbb{Q}}]) = 0$. In other words, $T\mathrm{cl}(\theta_{\mathbb{Q}*}[{}^\ell\mathcal{X}^\flat_{\mathfrak{r}^\flat,\mathbb{Q}}])$ belongs to $\mathrm{CH}^2({}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^0$. The class

$$\phi^{\mathfrak{r}}_\Pi(T)^{-1}\tilde{\alpha}(T\mathrm{cl}(\theta_{\mathbb{Q}*}[{}^\ell\mathcal{X}^\flat_{\mathfrak{r}^\flat,\mathbb{Q}}])) \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{H}^3({}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p})$$

does not depend on the choice of T. We call this class a *cubic Hirzebruch–Zagier class* and denote it by ${}^\ell\Theta^\nu$. Here, the map $\tilde{\alpha}$ is the composition of

$$\mathrm{CH}^2({}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{H}^3({}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{H}^3({}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p})$$

where α is the Abel–Jacobi map (2.11) (with K replaced by $\mathbb{Q}$).

Recall that we have the localization map

$$\mathrm{loc}_\ell\colon \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{H}^3({}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \mathrm{H}^3({}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}),$$

and the singular quotient map

$$\partial\colon \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \mathrm{H}^3({}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}) \to \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \mathrm{H}^3({}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p}).$$

Theorem 3.34 (The reciprocity law)**.** *Let notation be as above. Then under the canonical isomorphism in Theorem 3.11 (3), $\partial\mathrm{loc}_\ell({}^\ell\Theta^\nu)$ is the functional*

$$\phi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}), \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu)[\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p^\nu}] \mapsto (\ell+1)\sum_{r \in \mathcal{S}^\flat(\mathfrak{r}^\flat)} \phi(\psi(r)).$$

Proof. For simplicity, we put $\mathcal{X}^\flat = {}^\ell\mathcal{X}^\flat_{\mathfrak{r}^\flat} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}} O_K$ and $X^\flat = \mathcal{X}^\flat_\kappa$. It is well-known that $\mathcal{X}^\flat$ is a strictly semistable curve such that

$$X^{\flat(0)} = \left(\coprod_{S_0^{\flat+}} \mathbb{P}^1_\kappa\right) \coprod \left(\coprod_{S_0^{\flat-}} \mathbb{P}^1_\kappa\right), \quad X^{\flat(1)} = \coprod_{S_1^\flat} \operatorname{Spec} \kappa,$$

where $S_0^{\flat+} = S_0^{\flat-} = \mathcal{S}^\flat(\mathfrak{r}^\flat)$ and $S_1^\flat = \mathcal{S}^\flat(\ell\mathfrak{r}^\flat)$. Moreover, the morphism $\theta \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}} \kappa$ sends $\mathbb{P}^1_\kappa \subset X^{\flat(0)}$ indexed by $r \in S_0^{\flat\pm}$ diagonally into $(\mathbb{P}^1_\kappa)^3 \subset X_{(\pm)}$ indexed by $\psi(r) \in S_0^\pm$. By Construction 3.14, there is a unique morphism $\vartheta\colon \mathcal{X}^\flat \to \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\theta = \pi \circ \vartheta$ where π is the blow-up (3.2).

Put $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu$. Pick up an element $T \in \mathbb{T}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}$ such that $\phi^{\mathfrak{r}}_\Pi(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $T\vartheta_{K*}[\mathcal{X}^\flat_K] \in \mathrm{CH}^2(\mathcal{Y}_K, \Lambda)^0$. Let $\hat{z}$ be the element in $A^2(Y, \Lambda)^0$ induced by the cycle $\phi^{\mathfrak{r}}_\Pi(T)^{-1}T\vartheta_*[\mathcal{X}^\flat]$ as in §2.4. If we regard $\hat{z}$ as an element in $\mathrm{Hom}(A(Y), \Lambda)$, then by Lemma 2.18 it is equal to $(\mathbf{0}_{18}, \psi^\ell_* \mathbf{1}^\flat_\ell)$ under the identification in Notation 3.26, where $\mathbf{1}^\flat_\ell \in \Gamma(S^\flat(\ell\mathfrak{r}^\flat), \Lambda)$ is the constant function 1.

By Propositions 2.17 and 3.30, we know that under the isomorphism in Corollary 3.31, the class $\partial\mathrm{loc}_\ell({}^\ell\Theta^\nu)|_{\Gamma_K}$ equals to the image of

$$(\ell+1)(\psi_*\mathbf{1}^\flat, \psi_*\mathbf{1}^\flat, \psi_*\mathbf{1}^\flat) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}), \Lambda)^{\oplus 3}$$

in $(\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}), \Lambda)/\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p^\nu})^{\oplus 3}$, where $\mathbf{1}^\flat \in \Gamma(S^\flat(\mathfrak{r}^\flat), \Lambda)$ is the constant function 1. Thus the theorem follows. □

4. Bounding Selmer groups

4.1. **Bloch–Kato Selmer groups.** Recall that in Definition 3.4, we have the Galois representation $\rho_{\Pi,p}\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,p})$. We denote its tensor product with $\mathbb{Q}_p$ by

$$\rho_{\Pi,\mathbb{Q}_p}\colon \Gamma_F \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,\mathbb{Q}_p}),$$

in which $\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,\mathbb{Q}_p} = \mathrm{N}_{\Pi,p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$. Similarly to Notation 3.10, we have the multiplicative induction

$${}^\sharp\rho_{\Pi,\mathbb{Q}_p}\colon \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}({}^\sharp\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,\mathbb{Q}_p}).$$

It is crystalline at p if p is coprime to $\mathfrak{r}_0\mathfrak{r}_\Pi \operatorname{disc} F$.

Lemma 4.1. *The p-adic representation ${}^\sharp\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,\mathbb{Q}_p}(-1)$ of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is tamely pure (*[Liu15, Definition 3.3]*), that is, $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, {}^\sharp\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,\mathbb{Q}_p}(-1)) = 0$ for all primes $v \nmid p$.*

Proof. This follows from the purity of the monodromy filtration on ${}^\sharp\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (over every finite place of $\mathbb{Q}$). To show the purity, we are allowed to make a base change to a finite field extension. Thus, it suffices to show the purity for the Γ_F-representation $(\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\otimes 3}$. By [Del80, (1.6.9)], it suffices to consider $\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$, whose purity is well-known. □

Definition 4.2 ([BK90] or [Liu15, §3.1])**.** By the above lemma, the *Bloch–Kato Selmer group* $\mathrm{H}^1_f(\mathbb{Q}, {}^\sharp\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,\mathbb{Q}_p}(-1))$ of the representation ${}^\sharp\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,\mathbb{Q}_p}(-1)$ is the subspace of classes $s \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, {}^\sharp\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,\mathbb{Q}_p}(-1))$ such that

$$\mathrm{loc}_p(s) \in \mathrm{H}^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, {}^\sharp\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,\mathbb{Q}_p}(-1)) = \ker[\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, {}^\sharp\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,\mathbb{Q}_p}(-1)) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, {}^\sharp\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,\mathbb{Q}_p}(-1) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{cris}})].$$

4.2. **Recapitulation of some Galois-theoretical results.** Let $p \geq 11$ be a cubic-generic prime for Π that is coprime to $\mathfrak{r}_0\mathfrak{r}_\Pi \operatorname{disc} F$. We recall some results from [Liu15], recorded here as lemmas. For simplicity, we denote by

$$\rho^\nu \colon \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}(N^\nu)$$

for the representation ${}^\sharp\bar{\rho}^\nu_{\Pi,p}(-1)$ for $\nu \geq 1$ (as we did in the proof of Theorem 3.11).

Now we fix an integer $\nu \geq 1$, which will be decided later. Denote by G the image of ρ^ν and $L/\mathbb{Q}$ the Galois extension determined by G. We suppose that G contains a non-trivial scalar element of order coprime to p.

Lemma 4.3. *We have* $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{G}, N^\nu) = 0$ *for all* $i \geq 0$. *The restriction of classes gives an isomorphism:*

$$\mathrm{Res}^L_{\mathbb{Q}} \colon \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, N^\nu) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^1(L, N^\nu)^{\mathrm{G}} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{G}}(\Gamma_L^{\mathrm{ab}}, N^\nu).$$

The above lemma yields a $\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu[\mathrm{G}]$-linear pairing

$$[\ ,\ ] \colon \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, N^\nu) \times \Gamma_L^{\mathrm{ab}} \to N^\nu.$$

For each finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu$-submodule S of $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, N^\nu)$, denote by G_S the subgroup of $\varrho \in \Gamma_L^{\mathrm{ab}}$ such that $[s, \varrho] = 0$ for all $s \in S$. Let $L_S \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the subfield fixed by G_S.

Lemma 4.4. *The induced pairing*

$$[\ ,\ ] \colon S \times \mathrm{Gal}(L_S/L) \to N^\nu$$

yields an isomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(L_S/L) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(S, N^\nu)$ *of* $\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu[\mathrm{G}]$*-modules.*

For each place w of L, we denote by ℓ_w the prime underlying w. If ℓ_w is unramified in L_S, then its Frobenius substitution in $\mathrm{H} \coloneqq \mathrm{Gal}(L_S/L)$ of an arbitrary place of L_S above w is the same one since H is abelian. We denote it by Ψ_w.

Let ϱ be an element of G of order coprime to p. Let $L_\varrho \subset L$ be the subfield fixed by the cyclic subgroup $\langle\varrho\rangle \subset \mathrm{G}$, and put $\mathrm{H}_\varrho = \mathrm{Gal}(L_S/L_\varrho)$. In particular, $\mathrm{H}_\varrho \simeq \mathrm{H} \rtimes \langle\varrho\rangle \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(S, N^\nu) \rtimes \langle\varrho\rangle$ as $\langle\varrho\rangle$ is of order coprime to p.

Definition 4.5. Let $\square$ be a finite set of primes containing those ramified in L_S. We say that a finite place w of L is $(\square, \varrho)$*-admissible* if its underlying prime ℓ_w does not belong to $\square$ and its Frobenius substitution in $\mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{G}$, which is then well-defined, equals ϱ.

Let w be a $(\square, \varrho)$-admissible place of L. Then $\mathrm{loc}_{\ell_w}(s)$ belongs to $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell_w}, N^\nu) = \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\ell_w}, N^\nu) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma_{\ell_w}/\mathrm{I}_{\ell_w}, N^\nu)$. Moreover for $s \in S$, we have

$$[s, \Psi_w] = \mathrm{loc}_{\ell_w}(s)(\Psi_w)$$

as an equality in N^ν.

Lemma 4.6. *The subset of* $\Psi_w \in \mathrm{H} = \mathrm{Gal}(L_S/L) = \mathrm{Hom}(S, N^\nu)$ *for all* $(\square, \varrho)$*-admissible places* w *is exactly* $\mathrm{Hom}(S, (N^\nu)^{\langle\varrho\rangle})$.

Recall that we have the $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$-representation $M^\nu_{\mathfrak{d}}$ as in Theorem 3.11. By the same theorem, we have a $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$-equivariant pairing $N^\nu \times M^\nu_{\mathfrak{d}} \to \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu(\chi_{\mathfrak{d}})(1)$ for some character $\chi_{\mathfrak{d}} \colon \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \{\pm 1\}$. It induces, for every prime power v, a local Tate pairing

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_v \colon \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, N^\nu) \times \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, M^\nu_{\mathfrak{d}}) \to \mathrm{H}^2(\mathbb{Q}_v, \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu(\chi_{\mathfrak{d}})(1)) \subset \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu. \tag{4.1}$$

For $s \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, N^\nu)$ and $r \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, M^\nu_{\mathfrak{d}})$, we would write $\langle s, r\rangle_v$ rather than $\langle \mathrm{loc}_v(s), \mathrm{loc}_v(r)\rangle_v$.

Lemma 4.7. *We have the following statements.*

(1) *The restriction of* $\sum_v \langle\ ,\ \rangle_v$ *to* $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, N^\nu) \times \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, M_\mathfrak{d}^\nu)$ *is trivial, where the sum is taken over all primes* v.
(2) *For every prime* $v \neq p$, *there exists an integer* $\nu_v \geq 1$ *such that the image of the pairing* (4.1) *is annihilated by* p^{ν_v} *for every* $\nu \geq 1$.
(3) *For every prime* $v \neq p$, *the restriction of* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_v$ *to* $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_v, N^\nu) \times \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_v, M_\mathfrak{d}^\nu)$ *is trivial.*
(4) *If* (p^ν, ℓ) *is a cubic-level raising pair, then* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_\ell$ *induces a perfect pairing between* $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, N^\nu)$ *and* $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, M_\mathfrak{d}^\nu)$ *in which both are free* $\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu$*-modules of rank* 1.
(5) *The restriction of* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_p$ *to* $\mathrm{H}^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, N^\nu) \times \mathrm{H}^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, M_\mathfrak{d}^\nu)$ *is trivial.*

4.3. **Proof of main theorem.** We return to the initial setup. We have a cuspidal automorphic representation Π_E of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ attached to a modular elliptic curve E over F. We have a finite set $\Sigma(\Pi_E, \tau)$ of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ which contains $\{\infty\}$. Suppose that $L(1/2, \Pi_E, \tau) \neq 0$. In particular, the set $\Sigma(\Pi_E, \tau)$ has even cardinality. Let $\mathrm{B}^\flat$ be the (definite) quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ which is ramified exactly at places in $\Sigma(\Pi_E, \tau)$, which is unique up to isomorphism. Put $\mathrm{B} = \mathrm{B}^\flat \otimes_\mathbb{Q} F$ as the quaternion algebra fixed in §3. Let Π be the subspace of automorphic forms on $\mathrm{B}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ that is the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence of Π_E. Recall that we have the cubic-triple product motive $\mathsf{M}(E)$ (1.1), whose p-adic realization $\mathsf{M}(E)_p$ is isomorphic to ${}^\sharp\mathrm{N}_{\Pi,\mathbb{Q}_p}(-1)$ by our construction.

We have the following functional

$$\mathcal{J}(\phi) = \int_{\mathrm{B}^{\flat\times}\backslash(\mathrm{B}^\flat\otimes_\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{A})^\times/\mathbb{A}^\times} \phi(h)\mathrm{d}h, \quad \phi \in \Pi$$

known as the *triple product period integral*, where $\mathrm{d}h$ is the Tamagawa measure on $(\mathrm{B}^\flat \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{A})^\times/\mathbb{A}^\times$. By [Ich08], the functional $\mathcal{J}$ is nontrivial. As before, we denote by $\mathfrak{r}_0^\flat$ (resp. $\mathfrak{r}_0$) the discriminant ideal of $\mathrm{B}^\flat$ (resp. B).

Definition 4.8 (Testing ideal)**.** We say that an ideal $\mathfrak{r} \subset O_F$ is a *testing ideal for* E if

(1) $\mathfrak{r}$ is absolutely neat;
(2) $\mathfrak{r}$ is coprime to $\mathfrak{r}_0$;
(3) $\mathfrak{r}_0^\flat$ is coprime to $\mathfrak{r}^\flat$, where $\mathfrak{r}^\flat = (\mathfrak{r}_0^\flat)^{-1}(\mathfrak{r}_0^\flat \cap \mathfrak{r})$ as before;
(4) $\mathcal{J}$ is nontrivial on the subgroup $\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}), \mathbb{Z})[\ker \phi_\Pi^\mathfrak{r}] \subset \Pi$, where $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{B}, \mathfrak{r})$ as before.

For a testing ideal $\mathfrak{r}$ for E, we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{E,\mathfrak{r}}$ the set of primes that are *not* strongly perfect with respect to $(\Pi, \mathfrak{r})$ (Definition 3.8). Let $\mathcal{P}_E$ be the intersection of $\mathcal{P}_{E,\mathfrak{r}}$ for all testing ideals $\mathfrak{r}$ for E.

Lemma 4.9. *Testing ideal for* E *exists if* E *satisfies Assumption 1.1 (E1).*

Proof. It amounts to show that for $v \in \Sigma(\Pi_E, \tau)$, if we denote by l a generator of the 1-dimensional space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{B}_v^\flat}(\Pi_v, \mathbf{1})$, then l takes non-zero values on new vectors. This is obvious if $F_v = \mathbb{Q}_v \times \mathbb{Q}_v \times \mathbb{Q}_v$ or F_v is a field. Thus, we may assume that $F_v = \mathbb{Q}_v \times L$ for a quadratic field extension $L/\mathbb{Q}_v$.

Let D be the division quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_v$. The local representation Π_v is nothing but a representation $\sigma \boxtimes \pi$ of $D^\times \times (D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_v} L)^\times$ in which $\sigma\colon D^\times \to \{\pm 1\}$ is an unramified character, and π is either an unramified principal series or an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation. In any case, the central character of π is trivial. Our goal is to show that for $0 \neq l \in \mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(\pi, \sigma)$, it takes non-zero values on new vectors of π. There are four cases.

(1) If π is an unramified principal series and $L/\mathbb{Q}_v$ is unramified, then it follows from Case 2 of [Liu15, Lemma 3.21].
(2) If π is an unramified principal series and $L/\mathbb{Q}_v$ is ramified, then σ must be the trivial character; otherwise $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(\pi,\sigma)=0$. Then it follows from the similar argument in Case 2 of [Liu15, Lemma 3.21].
(3) If π is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation and $L/\mathbb{Q}_v$ is unramified, then without lost of generality we may assume that σ is not trivial. By [Pra92, Remark 4.1.2], π must be the Steinberg representation; otherwise $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(\pi,\sigma)=0$. We identify $D\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_v}L$ with $\mathrm{Mat}_2(L)$ such that $O_D^\times$ is contained in the standard Iwahori subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ and
$$\begin{pmatrix} & 1\\ v & \end{pmatrix}$$
is a uniformizer of D. We realize π as the unique irreducible subrepresentation of
$$\left\{f\colon \mathrm{GL}_2(L)\to\mathbb{C}\mid f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & *\\ & d\end{pmatrix}g\right)=\left|\frac{a}{d}\right|_L f(g),\forall g\in\mathrm{GL}_2(L)\right\}.$$
It is well-known the Iwahori fixed function f_0 satisfying
$$f_0\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1\end{pmatrix}\right)=v^2,\quad f_0\left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1\\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}\right)=-1$$
is a new vector of π. In particular, we have
$$f_0\left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1\\ v & \end{pmatrix}\right)=-v^2.$$
By the same argument in Case 2 of [Liu15, Lemma 3.21], we only need to show that the integral
$$\int_{L^\times\backslash D^\times}\sigma(g^{-1})f_0(g)\mathrm{d}g$$
does not vanish, which is true since σ is nontrivial.
(4) If π is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation and $L/\mathbb{Q}_v$ is ramified, then we may assume that π is just the Steinberg representation by twisting the unramified quadratic character of $L^\times$. By [Pra92, Remark 4.1.2], we always have $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(\pi,\sigma)=0$. Thus, this case is vacuous.

□

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose that E satisfies Assumption 1.1. Then $\mathcal{P}_{E,\mathfrak{r}}$ is a finite set for every testing ideal $\mathfrak{r}$ for E.*

In particular, the set $\mathcal{P}_E$ is finite. In fact, we may give an upper bound for elements in $\mathcal{P}_E$ effectively once E is given.

Proof. In view of Lemma 4.9, it suffices to show that all but finitely many primes p are generic primes for Π (Definition 3.6 (3)). This is a consequence of [Dim05, Proposition 0.1 (iii)] and Assumption 1.1 (E2, E3). □

Now we can prove Theorem 1.2. We choose a testing ideal $\mathfrak{r}$ for E. It suffices to show that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathrm{H}^1_f(\mathbb{Q},\mathsf{M}(E)_p)=0$ for $p\notin\mathcal{P}_{E,\mathfrak{r}}$. Let $\nu\geq 1$ be some integer which will be determined later. Assume $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathrm{H}^1_f(\mathbb{Q},\mathsf{M}(E)_p)>0$. Then there is a free $\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu$-submodule S of $\mathrm{H}^1_f(\mathbb{Q},N^\nu)$ of rank 1 by [Liu15, Lemma 5.9]. We pick up a generator s of S. Let L_S

be the field as in §4.2. Let $\square$ be the (finite) set of primes that are either ramified in L_S, or dividing $p\mathfrak{r}_0\mathfrak{r}\operatorname{disc}\widetilde{F}$. Put $\nu_\square = \max\{\nu_v \mid v \in \square\}$ where ν_v is introduced in Lemma 4.7 (2).

Lemma 4.11. *For a prime $\ell \notin \square$ such that (p^ν, ℓ) is a cubic-level raising pair, we have*

(1) $\mathrm{loc}_v({}^\ell\Theta^\nu) \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_v, M^\nu)$ *if v is a prime not in $\square$ and $v \neq \ell$;*
(2) $\mathrm{loc}_p({}^\ell\Theta^\nu) \in \mathrm{H}^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_p, M^\nu)$.

Proof. We first note that away from $\square$, the scheme $\mathcal{X}_{\ell_{\mathrm{B},\mathfrak{r}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}} \mathbb{Q}$ has good reduction. Then (1) follows from [Liu15, Lemma 3.4]; and (2) follows from [Nek00, Theorem 3.1 (ii)] and [Liu15, Definition 4.5]. □

Let $\nu_\mathfrak{I}$ be the p-divisibility of the functional

$$\phi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}), \mathbb{Z})[\ker \phi^\mathfrak{r}_\Pi] \mapsto \sum_{r \in \mathcal{S}^\flat(\mathfrak{r}^\flat)} \phi(\psi(r)),$$

that is, the largest (nonnegative) integer such that $p^{\nu_\mathfrak{I}}$ divides $\sum_{r\in\mathcal{S}^\flat(\mathfrak{r}^\flat)}\phi(\psi(r))$ for all $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{r}), \mathbb{Z})[\ker\phi^\mathfrak{r}_\Pi]$. It is a finite number by Definition 4.8 (3). Now we choose the integer ν such that $\nu > \nu_\mathfrak{I} + \nu_\square$. By Theorem 3.34 and the definition of $\nu_\mathfrak{I}$, there exists $\mathfrak{d} \mid \mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}$ such that $p^{\nu_\mathfrak{I}} \| \partial\mathrm{loc}_\ell({}^\ell\Theta^\nu_\mathfrak{d})$ for every $\ell \notin \square$ such that (p^ν, ℓ) is a cubic-level raising pair. Here, the class ${}^\ell\Theta^\nu_\mathfrak{d}$ is the image of ${}^\ell\Theta^\nu$ under the projection

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{H}^3({}^\ell\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{r},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(2))/\mathfrak{m}^{\ell\mathfrak{r}}_{\Pi,p^\nu}) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{d}\mid\mathfrak{r}_\Pi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}} \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, M^\nu_\mathfrak{d}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, M^\nu_\mathfrak{d})$$

and thus $\partial\mathrm{loc}_\ell({}^\ell\Theta^\nu_\mathfrak{d}) \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, M^\nu_\mathfrak{d}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu$.

We choose a prime $\ell \notin \square$ such that (p^ν, ℓ) is a cubic-level raising pair. Put $\varrho = \rho^\nu(\sigma_\ell) \in \mathrm{G}$, where σ_ℓ is the Frobenius element at ℓ. Then ϱ has order coprime to p and $(N^\nu)^{\langle\varrho\rangle} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu$. Let w be a $(\square, \varrho)$-admissible place of L such that $p \nmid [s, \Psi_w]$, which is possible by Lemma 4.6. We replace ℓ by ℓ_w. Then (p^ν, ℓ) remains to be a cubic-level raising pair.

By Lemma 4.1 and [Liu15, Lemma 3.4, Definition 4.5], we have

$$\mathrm{loc}_v(s) \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}_v, N^\nu)$$

for every prime $v \notin \square \cup \{\ell\}$. Then by Lemma 4.7 (2,3,5) and Lemma 4.11, we have

$$p^{\nu-\nu_\square} \mid \sum_{v\neq\ell} \langle s, {}^\ell\Theta^\nu_\mathfrak{d}\rangle_v.$$

However, by construction, Theorem 3.34 and Lemma 4.7 (4), we have

$$p^{\nu_\mathfrak{I}} \| \langle s, {}^\ell\Theta^\nu_\mathfrak{d}\rangle_\ell.$$

As $\nu > \nu_\mathfrak{I} + \nu_\square$, these contradict with Lemma 4.7 (1). This accomplishes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Appendix A. Stratification on some modular schemes with bad reduction

Let F be a totally real number field of degree d, with the ring of integers O_F. In the appendix, we collect some results from [Zin82]. For simplicity, we assume that d is *odd*.

Let B be a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over F together with a maximal order O_B in B. We fix an odd prime ℓ that is inert in F and ramified in B. We fix an isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. In particular, the set $\Phi_F := \mathrm{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) = \mathrm{Hom}(O_F/\ell O_F, \mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty})$ is endowed with a Frobenius action σ. Let $\mathbb{F}_B$ ($\simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2d}}$) be the residue field of the maximal

order in B_ℓ. There is a natural σ-equivariant map $\pi\colon \Phi \coloneqq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_B, \mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty}) \to \Phi_F$ induced by restriction to $O_F/\ell O_F \subset \mathbb{F}_B$. We identify Φ with $\mathbb{Z}/2d\mathbb{Z}$ and Φ_F with $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sigma(i) = i+1$ and π coincides with the natural projection.

Definition A.1. Let $\mathfrak{r} \subset O_F$ be an ideal that is coprime to the discriminant of B. For every $\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$-scheme T, we let $\mathcal{X}_{B,\mathfrak{r}}(T)$ be the groupoid of triples (A, λ_A, C_A) where

- A is an abelian scheme over T;
- $\lambda_A\colon O_B \to \mathrm{End}_T A$ is a ring homomorphism satisfying the following Kottwitz condition:
$$\mathrm{charpol}(\lambda_A(b) \mid \mathrm{Lie}\, A) = \mathrm{Nm}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{Nm}_{B/F}(t-b)$$
for $b \in O_B$, where the right-hand side is regarded as a section of $\mathscr{O}_T$ via the structure homomorphism;
- $C_A \subset A[\mathfrak{r}]$ is an O_B-acyclic subgroup scheme of rank $(\mathrm{Nm}\,\mathfrak{r})^2$.

It is known that $\mathcal{X}_{B,\mathfrak{r}}$ is a Deligne–Mumford stack. When $\mathfrak{r}$ is absolutely neat, it is a projective scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{Z}_{(\ell)}$. In what follows, we will regard $\mathcal{X}_{B,\mathfrak{r}}$ as its base change to $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{Z}_{\ell^{2d}}$.

For a scheme X over $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{Z}_{\ell^{2d}}$, denote by $\widetilde{X} = X \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell^{2d}}} \mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2d}}$ its special fiber. We recall the following definition which is more or less well-known.

Definition A.2. Let X be a scheme locally of finite presentation over $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{Z}_{\ell^{2d}}$. We say that X is *poly-nodal* if for every closed point x of X of characteristic ℓ, the completion of the strict henselization of the local ring of X at x is isomorphic to
$$W(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty})[[X_1, \dots, X_s; Y_1, \dots, Y_s; Z_1, \dots]]/(X_1Y_1 - \ell, \dots, X_sY_s - \ell)$$
for some $s = s(x) \geq 0$. Denote by $\widetilde{X}^{[s]}$ the locus where $s(x) \geq s$, which is a closed subset of the special fiber $\widetilde{X}$. We endow $\widetilde{X}^{[s]}$ with the induced reduced scheme structure. Then $\widetilde{X}^{[s]}$ is smooth away from $\widetilde{X}^{[s+1]}$, and the singular locus of X coincides with $\widetilde{X}^{[2]}$ if X is proper.

Definition A.3. We say that a subset S of Φ is *ample* (*zulässig* in the terminology of [Zin82]) if $\{i, i+d\} \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for every $i \in \Phi$. In particular, a *CM type* is an ample subset S of cardinality d.

(1) Put $\Phi^+ = \{1, 3, \dots, 2d-1\}$ and $\Phi^- = \{0, 2, \dots, 2d-2\}$, both being CM types.
(2) For an ample subset S, put $S_1 = \{i \in S \mid i+d \notin S\}$ and $S_2 = S \setminus S_1$.
(3) Let $S^\dagger \subset S$ be a subset satisfying the rules: (a) $S^\dagger$ is a CM type; (b) $S_1 \subset S^\dagger$; (c) if $i \in S^\dagger \cap S_2$, then $i-1 \notin S^\dagger$. If $S \neq \Phi$, then $S^\dagger$ is unique. For $S = \Phi$, we take $S^\dagger = \Phi^+$ (and the other possibility is Φ^-).
(4) Define a function $r(S^\dagger)\colon \Phi \to \{-1, 0, 1\}$ by the formula
$$r(S^\dagger)_i = \mathbb{1}_{S^\dagger}(i-1) - \mathbb{1}_{S^\dagger}(i),$$
where $\mathbb{1}_{S^\dagger}$ is the characteristic function of $S^\dagger$. We have $r(S^\dagger)_i + r(S^\dagger)_{i+d} = 0$. Denote by $\Delta(S^\dagger)$ the set $\{i \in \Phi \mid r(S^\dagger)_i = -1\}$. Its cardinality is always odd. Define $R(S)$ to be the cardinality of $\Delta(S^\dagger) \setminus S_2$.

Definition A.4. We define some quaternionic Shimura varieties of non-PEL type. For each CM type $S^\dagger$, we pick up a quaternion algebra $B(S^\dagger)$ over F such that $B(S^\dagger)_i$ for $i \in \Phi_F$ is definite if and only if $\pi^{-1}(i) \cap \Delta(S^\dagger) \neq \emptyset$, together with an isomorphism $B(S^\dagger) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\ell \simeq B \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\ell$.

In particular, $B(S^\dagger)$ is unramified at ℓ. Suppose that $\mathfrak{r}$ is absolutely neat, we have the complex Shimura variety

$$X_{B(S^\dagger),\mathfrak{r}} := B(S^\dagger)^\times \backslash \mathfrak{H}^{d-|\Delta(S^\dagger)|} \times (B(S^\dagger)\otimes\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})^\times/(R\otimes\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})^\times$$

over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C} \simeq \operatorname{Spec}\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$, where R is an Eichler order of level $\mathfrak{r}$ in $B(S^\dagger)$. It has a smooth projective model $\mathcal{X}_{B(S^\dagger),\mathfrak{r}}$ over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}_{\ell^{2d}}$. When $S^\dagger = \Phi^+$, we also have a smooth projective scheme $\mathcal{X}_{B(\Phi^+),\ell\mathfrak{r}}$ over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}_{\ell^{2d}}$ (of relative dimension zero).

Now we can state the main theorem of [Zin82], in our setup.

Theorem A.5. *Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be an absolutely neat ideal. The $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell^{2d}}$-scheme $\mathcal{X}_{B,\mathfrak{r}}$ is poly-nodal. Moreover, for each ample subset S of Φ, one has canonically a closed subscheme $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{B,\mathfrak{r}})_S$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{B,\mathfrak{r}}$ satisfying*

1. *it is a disjoint union of irreducible components of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{B,\mathfrak{r}}^{[|S|-d]}$ (hence proper and smooth);*
2. *if $S \neq \Phi$, then there is a Hecke equivariant morphism*
$$\varphi_S\colon (\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{B,\mathfrak{r}})_S \to \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{B(S^\dagger),\mathfrak{r}}$$
which is a $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{R(S)}$-bundle;
3. *if $S = \Phi$, then there is a Hecke equivariant isomorphism*
$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{B,\mathfrak{r}})_\Phi \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{B(\Phi^+),\ell\mathfrak{r}}.$$

Moreover, the extended action of the Frobenius element σ, as a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell^{2d}}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, on $\mathcal{X}_{B,\mathfrak{r}}$ induces an isomorphism from $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{B,\mathfrak{r}})_S$ to $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{B,\mathfrak{r}})_{\sigma S}$ for every ample subset S.

In the above theorem, the Hecke operators we consider are those away from $\mathfrak{r}$ and the discriminant of B.

Example A.6. The following diagram illustrates the dual reduction graph of the poly-nodal scheme $\mathcal{X}_{B,\mathfrak{r}}$ when $d = 3$.



Now we take a close look at the strata $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{B,\mathfrak{r}})_S$ when $S \neq \Phi$ and $S^\dagger \in \{\Phi^+, \Phi^-\}$. For every $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2d}}$-scheme T, let $\mathcal{S}^\pm_{B,\mathfrak{r}}(T)$ be the groupoid of triples (A, λ_A, C_A) where

- A is an abelian scheme over T;

- $\lambda_A\colon O_B \to \operatorname{End} A$ is a ring homomorphism such that $\operatorname{Lie}(A)_i$ is a locally free sheaf of rank $r(\Phi^{\pm})_i + 1$ for $i \in \Phi$, where $\operatorname{Lie}(A) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Phi} \operatorname{Lie}(A)_i$ is the decomposition of coherent sheaves on T induced by the action of $\varsigma(\mathbb{F}_B) \subset O_B/\ell O_B$, where ς is some (and hence all) sections of the surjective homomorphism $O_B/\ell O_B \to \mathbb{F}_B$ of algebras;
- $C_A \subset A[\mathfrak{r}]$ is an O_B-acyclic subgroup scheme of rank $(\operatorname{Nm}\mathfrak{r})^2$.

The functor $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}_{B,\mathfrak{r}}$ is a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2d}}$ of dimension zero. If $\mathfrak{r}$ is absolutely neat, then it is a scheme and we will denote by $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$ the universal abelian scheme with O_B-action over $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}_{B,\mathfrak{r}}$. We have the following decomposition

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{A}^{\pm}) = \bigoplus_{i\in\Phi} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{A}^{\pm})_i$$

of the relative de Rham cohomology, in which each $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{A}^{\pm})_i$ is a locally free sheaf of rank 2 over $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}_{B,\mathfrak{r}}$. Using the Verschiebung map $\mathcal{V}$ and the action of a uniformizer Π of B_ℓ with $\Pi^2 = \ell$, we have a sequence of maps

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{A}^{\pm})_i \xrightarrow{\Pi^*} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{A}^{\pm})_{i+d} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{V}} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{A}^{\pm})_{i+d-1}$$

for every $i \in \Phi^{\mp}$. It induces, after projectivization, a morphism

$$\sigma\colon \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{A}^{\pm})_i) \to \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{A}^{\pm})_{i+d-1}),$$

which is independent of the choice of Π. Here, we abuse of notation by using σ again since this morphism behaves like a Frobenius morphism: it is purely inseparable and of degree ℓ.

Put

$$\mathcal{B}_{\Phi^{\pm}} = \prod_{i\in\Phi^{\mp}} \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{A}^{\pm})_i).$$

For an ample subset $S \neq \Phi$ with $S^\dagger = \Phi^{\pm}$, we define a subscheme $\mathcal{B}_S$ of $\mathcal{B}_{\Phi^{\pm}}$ as follows. It parameterizes sequences of sub-line bundles $(L_i)_{i\in\Phi^{\mp}}$ satisfying $L_{i+d-1} = \sigma(L_i)$ for every $i \in S\cap\Phi^{\mp}$. In particular, $\mathcal{B}_S$ is a $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{R(S)}$-bundle over $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}_{B,\mathfrak{r}}$. We have the following proposition from the construction in [Zin82].

Proposition A.7. *Let $S \neq \Phi$ be an ample subset such that $S^\dagger = \Phi^{\pm}$. Then $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{B,\mathfrak{r}})_S$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_S$.*

Note that $B(\Phi^+)$ and $B(\Phi^-)$ are isomorphic and totally definite. Denote by $\mathcal{S}(B(\Phi^{\pm}),\mathfrak{r})$ the set of isomorphic classes of *oriented* Eichler orders of level $\mathfrak{r}$ in $B(\Phi^{\pm})$. Then $\mathcal{S}(B(\Phi^+),\mathfrak{r})$ and $\mathcal{S}(B(\Phi^-),\mathfrak{r})$ are canonically identified. We have the following proposition which is a compliment to Theorem A.5.

Proposition A.8. *Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be an absolutely neat ideal. We have*

(1) *The scheme $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}_{B,\mathfrak{r}}$ is* canonically *isomorphic to*

$$\coprod_{\mathcal{S}(B(\Phi^{\pm}),\mathfrak{r})} \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2d}}.$$

Moreover, if S is a proper ample subset of Φ such that $S^\dagger = \Phi^{\pm}$, then the action of $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell^{2d}}/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ fits into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{B,\mathfrak{r}})_S & \xrightarrow{\quad\sigma\quad} & (\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{B,\mathfrak{r}})_{\sigma S} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{\mathcal{S}(B(\Phi^{\pm}),\mathfrak{r})} \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2d}} & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{\mathcal{S}(B(\Phi^{\mp}),\mathfrak{r})} \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2d}} \end{array}$$

where the bottom arrow is induced by the canonical identification between $\mathcal{S}(B(\Phi^+),\mathfrak{r})$ *and* $\mathcal{S}(B(\Phi^-),\mathfrak{r})$.

(2) *The scheme* $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{B,\mathfrak{r}})_\Phi$ *is* canonically *isomorphic to*

$$\coprod_{\mathcal{S}(B(S^\dagger),\ell\mathfrak{r})} \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2d}}.$$

Moreover, the action of σ *is given by the Atkin–Lehner involution at* ℓ.

Proof. For (1), our goal is to show that the set $\mathcal{S}^\pm_{B,\mathfrak{r}}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty})$ is *canonically* isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}(B(\Phi^\pm),\mathfrak{r})$, with the trivial action by $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty}/\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2d}})$.

Take an element (A,λ_A,C_A) in $\mathcal{S}^\pm_{B,\mathfrak{r}}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty})$. By the same argument in [Rib89, 4.2, 4.3], we find that A is always superspecial. In what follows, we fix a supersingular elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty}$. Then $A\simeq\mathcal{E}^{2d}$ and hence $\mathrm{End}(A)\simeq \mathrm{M}_{2d}(\mathcal{Q}^\flat)$ where $\mathcal{Q}^\flat$ is a maximal order in the unique definite quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ that ramifies at ℓ. Put $\mathcal{Q}=\mathcal{Q}^\flat\otimes O_F$, which is a maximal order in $Q:=Q^\flat\otimes F$.

We define an $(O_B,\mathcal{Q})$-*bimodule of rank* r to be a projective O_F-module of rank r together with a left action of O_B and a right action of $\mathcal{Q}$, such that the induced O_F-actions of both sides coincide with the O_F-module structure. Then every element $x=(A,\lambda_A)\in\mathcal{S}^\pm_{B,O_F}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty})$ corresponds to an $(O_B,\mathcal{Q})$-bimodule M_x of rank 8. The endomorphism algebra of the $(O_B,\mathcal{Q})$-bimodule M_x is an order in $B(\Phi^\pm)$. Now we apply the results in [Rib89, 1, 2], which in fact work over general number fields, to see that M_x is a maximal order in $B(\Phi^\pm)$ with the orientation given by actions on Tate modules as in the proof of [Rib89, Theorem 4.12]. In all, we have a canonical map $\mu^\pm\colon \mathcal{S}^\pm_{B,O_F}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty})\to\mathcal{S}(B(\Phi^\pm),O_F)$. In general, we have a canonical map $\mu^\pm_{\mathfrak{r}}\colon \mathcal{S}^\pm_{B,\mathfrak{r}}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty})\to\mathcal{S}(B(\Phi^\pm),\mathfrak{r})$. The similar argument for [Rib89, Theorem 4.12] shows that the previous map is a bijection. As $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty}/\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2d}})$ preserves the fiber of $\mu^\pm_{\mathfrak{r}}$, we have that $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty}/\mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2d}})$ acts trivially on the set $\mathcal{S}^\pm_{B,\mathfrak{r}}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty})$. Moreover, if $x\in\mathcal{S}^\pm_{B,\mathfrak{r}}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty})$, then $\sigma x\in\mathcal{S}^\mp_{B,\mathfrak{r}}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^\infty})$ and $\mu^\pm_{\mathfrak{r}}(x)=\mu^\mp_{\mathfrak{r}}(\sigma x)$ under the canonical identification of $\mathcal{S}(B(\Phi^+),\mathfrak{r})$ and $\mathcal{S}(B(\Phi^-),\mathfrak{r})$. Thus, (1) is proved.

The proof of (2) is parallel to the case of mixed exceptional pairs in [Rib89] (while (1) is parallel to the case of pure exceptional pairs). We leave it to the reader. □

References

[SGA5] A. Grothendieck et al, *Cohomologie l-adique et fonctions L*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 589, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977 (French). Séminaire de Géometrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1965–1966 (SGA 5); Edité par Luc Illusie. MR0491704 (58 #10907) ↑21

[AG5] *Algebraic geometry. V*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 47, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Fano varieties; A translation of *Algebraic geometry. 5* (Russian), Ross. Akad. Nauk, Vseross. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow; Translation edited by A. N. Parshin and. MR1668575 (2000b:14051a) ↑22

[BD05] M. Bertolini and H. Darmon, *Iwasawa's main conjecture for elliptic curves over anticyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extensions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **162** (2005), no. 1, 1–64, DOI 10.4007/annals.2005.162.1. MR2178960 (2006g:11218) ↑3

[BK90] S. Bloch and K. Kato, *L-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I, Progr. Math., vol. 86, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 333–400. MR1086888 (92g:11063) ↑33

[BLR91] N. Boston, H. W. Lenstra Jr., and K. A. Ribet, *Quotients of group rings arising from two-dimensional representations*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **312** (1991), no. 4, 323–328 (English, with French summary). MR1094193 (92c:11057) ↑31

[BCDT01] C. Breuil, B. Conrad, F. Diamond, and R. Taylor, *On the modularity of elliptic curves over* $\mathbf{Q}$*: wild 3-adic exercises*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. 4, 843–939 (electronic), DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-01-00370-8. MR1839918 (2002d:11058) ↑3

[BL84] J.-L. Brylinski and J.-P. Labesse, *Cohomologie d'intersection et fonctions L de certaines variétés de Shimura*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **17** (1984), no. 3, 361–412 (French). MR777375 (86i:11026) ↑28

[Del80] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **52** (1980), 137–252 (French). MR601520 (83c:14017) ↑33

[Dim05] M. Dimitrov, *Galois representations modulo p and cohomology of Hilbert modular varieties*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **38** (2005), no. 4, 505–551, DOI 10.1016/j.ansens.2005.03.005 (English, with English and French summaries). MR2172950 (2006k:11100) ↑27, 29, 36

[Gar87] P. B. Garrett, *Decomposition of Eisenstein series: Rankin triple products*, Ann. of Math. (2) **125** (1987), no. 2, 209–235, DOI 10.2307/1971310. MR881269 (88m:11033) ↑2

[GS95] B. H. Gross and C. Schoen, *The modified diagonal cycle on the triple product of a pointed curve*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **45** (1995), no. 3, 649–679 (English, with English and French summaries). MR1340948 (96e:14008) ↑19

[Ich08] A. Ichino, *Trilinear forms and the central values of triple product L-functions*, Duke Math. J. **145** (2008), no. 2, 281–307, DOI 10.1215/00127094-2008-052. MR2449948 (2009i:11066) ↑35

[Kis09] M. Kisin, *Lectures on deformations of Galois representations* (2009). `http://www.math.harvard.edu/~kisin/notes/notes.pdf`, preprint. ↑30

[Liu15] Y. Liu, *Hirzebruch–Zagier cycles and twisted triple product Selmer groups* (2015). arXiv:math/1511.08176. ↑2, 3, 5, 33, 34, 36, 37

[MR00] Z. Mao and S. Rallis, *Cubic base change for* GL(2), Canad. J. Math. **52** (2000), no. 1, 172–196, DOI 10.4153/CJM-2000-008-9. MR1745706 (2001d:11056) ↑3

[Nek00] J. Nekovář, *p-adic Abel-Jacobi maps and p-adic heights*, The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998), CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 367–379. MR1738867 (2002e:14011) ↑37

[PSR87] I. Piatetski-Shapiro and S. Rallis, *Rankin triple L functions*, Compositio Math. **64** (1987), no. 1, 31–115. MR911357 (89k:11037) ↑2

[Pra92] D. Prasad, *Invariant forms for representations of* GL_2 *over a local field*, Amer. J. Math. **114** (1992), no. 6, 1317–1363, DOI 10.2307/2374764. MR1198305 (93m:22011) ↑36

[RZ82] M. Rapoport and Th. Zink, *Über die lokale Zetafunktion von Shimuravarietäten. Monodromiefiltration und verschwindende Zyklen in ungleicher Charakteristik*, Invent. Math. **68** (1982), no. 1, 21–101, DOI 10.1007/BF01394268 (German). MR666636 (84i:14016) ↑6

[Rib89] K. A. Ribet, *Bimodules and abelian surfaces*, Algebraic number theory, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 17, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989, pp. 359–407. MR1097624 (92a:11070) ↑41

[Rib90] K. A. Ribet, *On modular representations of* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ *arising from modular forms*, Invent. Math. **100** (1990), no. 2, 431–476, DOI 10.1007/BF01231195. MR1047143 (91g:11066) ↑3, 5

[Sai03] T. Saito, *Weight spectral sequences and independence of l*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **2** (2003), no. 4, 583–634, DOI 10.1017/S1474748003000173. MR2006800 (2004i:14022) ↑6, 9, 10, 11

[TW95] R. Taylor and A. Wiles, *Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **141** (1995), no. 3, 553–572, DOI 10.2307/2118560. MR1333036 (96d:11072) ↑3

[TX13] Y. Tian and L. Xiao, *On Goren–Oort stratification for quaternionic Shimura varieties* (2013). arXiv:math/1308.0790. ↑22

[TX14] ———, *Tate cycles on some quaternionic Shimura varieties mod p* (2014). arXiv:math/1410.2321. ↑22, 28

[Wed00] T. Wedhorn, *Congruence relations on some Shimura varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **524** (2000), 43–71, DOI 10.1515/crll.2000.060. MR1770603 (2001j:11045) ↑27

[Wil95] A. Wiles, *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's last theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **141** (1995), no. 3, 443–551, DOI 10.2307/2118559. MR1333035 (96d:11071) ↑3

[Zin82] T. Zink, *Über die schlechte Reduktion einiger Shimuramannigfaltigkeiten*, Compositio Math. **45** (1982), no. 1, 15–107 (German). MR648660 (83k:14040) ↑5, 37, 38, 39, 40

Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208
E-mail address: liuyf@math.northwestern.edu